AGENDA GPATS POLICY COORDINATING COMMITTEE October 27, 2025 10:00 a.m.

The Meeting will be held on Zoom and <u>open for on-site participation</u> by Policy Committee Members in Greenville County Square, 301 University Ridge, Greenville – Council Chambers.

Citizens and other interested parties may attend in person or live-stream the meeting at the appointed time, at the following web address:

https://www.greenvillecounty.org/livestreamplanning.aspx

| | 1. | CALL TO ORDER / WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION | NS | Chairman Senator Ross Turner |
|--------------------|-----|---|----------|--|
| action: | 2. | APPROVAL OF THE AUGUST 25, 2025 COMMITTEE | MINUTES | Attachment 1 Chairman Senator Ross Turner |
| | 3. | PUBLIC COMMENT | | Chairman Senator Ross Turner |
| | 4. | SCDOT PROJECT STATUS UPDATE | SCD | Attachment 2 Megan Groves OT Program Manager |
| action: action: | 5. | PERFORMANCE MEASURES UPDATE - LRTP AMENDMENT - TIP DOCUMENT AMENDMENT | GPATS T | Attachment 3 Anna Stewart Transportation Planner |
| action: | 6. | GPATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGI FY2025-2034 - AMENDMENT AC#7 | | Attachment 4 Keith Brockington ransportation Manager |
| action: | 7. | FTA SECTION 5310 APPLICATION - ABLE SC | G | Attachment 5 Asangwua Ikein PATS Transit Planner |
| action: | 8. | GPATS CY2026 MEETING SCHEDULE | GPATS TI | Attachment 6 Keith Brockington ransportation Manager |
| | 9. | OLD BUSINESS - GPATS TMA CERTIFICATION REVIEW - GPATS RFP FOR HORIZON 2050 LRTP | | Attachment 7 |
| | 10. | NEW BUSINESS - GPATS FEBRUARY 2026 ELECTIONS | | |
| | 11. | ADJOURN | | |

MINUTES GPATS POLICY COORDINATING COMMITTEE

August 25, 2025

County Square – Council Chambers 10:00 a.m.

In-person and remote participation by Committee members

MEMBERS PRESENT: Vice-Chairman Mayor McLeer, Senator Allen, Senator Elliott, Representative Collins, Representative Morgan, Councilor Bradley, Councilor Davis, Councilor McGahhey, Councilor Seman, Commissioner Metcalf, Mayor Amidon, Mayor Danner, Mayor Merritt, Mayor White, GTA Chair Amanda Warren, and Keith Brockington.

OTHERS PRESENT: T. Brown, P. Butler, D. Carlile, E. Chen, B. Conner, S. Davis, E. Dillon, H. Gamble, B. Groel, M. Groves, C. Hill, Ty Houck, A. Ikein, S. Jackson-Amell, C. Link, B. Maddox, L. Prunkl, A. Stewart, R. Tolson, J. Walden, B. Wilson.

CALL TO ORDER/WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

Vice-Chairman Mayor G. P. McLeer called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m. and announced a quorum had been established.

APPROVAL OF THE MAY 12, 2025 POLICY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Vice-Chairman McLeer asked if anyone had any amendments to the minutes. None were voiced.

MOTION: By Mayor White, seconded by Councilor McGahhey, to approve the May 12, 2025 minutes as presented. The motion carried unanimously by voice vote. There were no objections.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no individuals signed up for public comment.

SCDOT PROJECT STATUS UPDATE

Megan Groves, Lead Program Manager with SCDOT, addressed Committee members with an update on projects summarized below.

- Upcoming Projects:
 - o Garlington Road Corridor Improvement The Feasibility Report is complete. Consultant Procurement is underway.
 - Haywood & Pelham Intersection Alternative analysis is complete. Partial continuous flow intersection recommended. Funding approved in STIP and PE to begin in fiscal year 2026.
 - Pleasantburg & Rutherford Intersection Alternative analysis is also completed on this project with partial median U-turn intersection recommended. Funding approved in STIP. PE will begin in fiscal year 2026.

GPATS Policy Committee August 25, 2025
Minutes 1

• Projects in Design:

- S-107 East Butler Road (Bridges Road to US 276) Right of way (ROW) acquisitions are complete. Utilities relocations to begin Fall 2025. Tentative Let date is Winter 2026.
- Woodruff Road Congestion Relief (WRCR) ROW plans have been completed, and funds have been obligated. ROW acquisition is approximately 85% complete. Construction anticipated Spring 2027.
- SC-183 Corridor Improvement IGA with Pickens County has been executed. Holt was issued a LNTP to perform initial survey, aerial mapping and field delineation of wetlands. SCDOT and Holt have finalized scope and moving forward with negotiations to get under contract, it could be a couple of months before full NTP will be issued. ROW set in FY2027 but will develop schedule as design progresses.
- SC-183 & Jameson Road Intersection Moving forward with signalized intersection only and the realignment of Old Dacusville will not be in the plans due to the widening of SC-183.
- o Town of Central Connector Right of Way acquisition underway and construction anticipated early 2026.
- S-162 Bryson Drive Multi-Use Trail This is a GPATS Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) project awarded to UGATA (Upstate Greenways and Trails Alliance).
 Preliminary design underway and construction anticipated in FY2027.

• Projects in Construction:

- West Georgia Road Intersection Improvement Contractor is working on signal rebuilds. All curb and most of sidewalk complete. Surface asphalt planned for September 2025.
- o Roper Mountain Road (RMR)/Roper Mountain Road Ext. (RMRE) Ponders Ray intersection complete, working on punchlist items.

Bridge Projects:

- US 29 Church Street Bridge Currently in Stage 2 of 4 for construction phasing. Bridge
 jacking and replacement of bearings is ongoing. Painting has begun on bents. Currently
 on schedule with an estimated completion date of July 2026.
- S-149 W. Faris Road over Brushy Creek (Bridge Replacement) Construction plans currently in design. ROW obligated in June 2025 and construction anticipated in the Fall of 2026.
- S-250 Hester Store Road over Machine Creek (Bridge Replacement) Final plans and ROW acquisition complete. Project letting in November 2025 and construction anticipated beginning of 2026.
- o S-277 Lay Bridge Road over Twelve Mile Creek Right of Way plans under development. ROW obligation in Fall 2025 and construction anticipated early 2027.
- o US 276 over North Saluda River Consultant procurement underway. Off-alignment bridge replacement with construction anticipated in FY2028.
- S-507 Brooks Avenue over Langston Creek Preliminary design underway. Proposed re-alignment with Hilltop Avenue for a potential future signal. Construction anticipated in FY2027.

Design Build Bridge Package 19:

o S-26 Pace Bridge Road over tributary to Saluda River (Pickens County)

- o S-40 Pace Bridge Road over South Saluda River (Greenville County)
- o S-40 Pace Bridge Road over Saluda Overflow (Greenville County)
- o S-94 Hammett Bridge Road over tributary to Enoree River (Greenville County)
- o S-310 Crestwood Drive over tributary to Richland Creek (Greenville County)
- S-102 Keeler Mill Road over Armstrong Creek (Greenville County)
 Contract awarded to W. S. Wagner Company, LLC. Construction has not yet started, waiting on Notice to Proceed.

Note: There are two more bridges outside of GPATS boundary that are in this package. Construction completion is anticipated Spring of 2028.

- Design Build Bridge Package 16 (In Construction):
 - o SC-124 over George's Creek (Pickens County)
 - o SC-183 over Twelve Mile Creek (Pickens County)
 - o SC-123 over George's Creek (Pickens County)
 - SC-183 over Gregory Creek (Pickens County)
 Contract awarded to Palmetto Infrastructure, Inc. SC-183 bridges are to be complete and open by January 2026 with completion of entire package expected in Spring 2026.
- Design Build Bridge Packages 30 and 31:
 - o Dill Road over Middle Tiger River Estimated completion date September 2025
 - o Hudson Street over Reedy River Construction to begin in Fall 2025
 - W. Washington over Reedy River Construction to begin in Fall 2025
 Note: There are five more bridges outside of GPATS boundary that are in these packages.
- Vulnerable Road Users Section Corridor Improvements (Traffic Safety):
 - o River Street/Richardson Street
 - o US-29 Wade Hampton Boulevard
 - o US-276 Poinsett Highway

All three projects are currently in PE. Traffic Safety Office is currently working with consultants to develop traffic reports. Once traffic reports are complete, they will develop preliminary plans. Projects letting in late 2026.

- Intersection Improvements (Traffic Safety):
 - o Gap Creek/Gary Armstrong Single lane roundabout. Letting in October 2025.
 - o US-25/US-25 Connector Continuous Green T intersection (signalized). In construction with estimated completion date August 2026.
 - o Farrs Bridge Road/Ireland Road Added left turn lane. In construction with estimated completion date of September 2025.
- Corridor Safety Improvements (Traffic Safety):
 - o US-29 Wade Hampton Boulevard (Karen Drive to E. Lee Road) Let on 8/12/25 and is pending award.
 - SC-183 Cedar Lane Road/Pete Hollis Boulevard (E. Marion Road to Margaret Court) –
 In construction, project was awarded to Palmetto Corporation of Conway with a completion date of August 2026.

Ms. Groves made herself available for questions.

Mayor Terry Merritt, City of Mauldin, asked about the East Butler Road project and if the tentative let date could be more specific than Winter 2026.

Ms. Groves replied that the project has had many changes due to utility relocations, which are being finalized, SCDOT is working on pole relocations and easements with Duke Energy. She added that there is still no definitive relocation allowing SCDOT to move forward; therefore, the let date is still to be determined.

Councilor Curt McGahhey, Greenville County, asked if there was a way to overcome the challenge of utility relocation plans.

Discussions ensued about Duke Energy and other utility relocations, possible increases in construction costs due to delays with utilities, efforts to stay on track with utility providers by having more frequent updates or phone calls, and the timeline of when Duke was first made aware of the project.

TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM (TAP) FY2025 PROJECT UPDATE

Anna Stewart, GPATS Transportation Planner, updated members on the FY2025 Cycle of TAP Applications. Ms. Stewart said staff released its annual Call for Projects in January and one application was received from the County of Greenville for the Textile Crescent Shared Use Path. The applicant is requesting \$920,350 with a local match of 21.4%. Ms. Stewart said the project score was 26.5 out of 30 points on the ranking criteria and the description states construction of a 0.6-mile (12-foot-wide) concrete shared use path built on a former rail line. The path will extend from Abney Street to Easley Bridge road. Ms. Stewart said the Bike and Pedestrian Coordinating Committee reviewed the application and the Study Team recommended approval to award the TAP funds.

Ms. Stewart made herself available for questions.

Mayor Merritt asked for clarification on where this project is located.

Mr. Brockington stated the southern terminus of the project is Easley Bridge Road or SC-123.

MOTION: By Mayor White, seconded by Councilor Bradley, to approve and award TAP funds to County of Greenville for the Textile Crescent Shared Use Path, as presented. The motion carried unanimously by voice vote. There were no objections.

FTA SECTION 5310 APPLICATION

Asangwua Ikein, GPATS Transit Planner, updated members on an application the Transit Coordinated Committee (TCC) evaluated for FTA Section 5310 funds. Mr. Ikein stated the one applicant is Able SC and the application scored an average of 83.5 out of 100. He added with the current score, the project is recommended for approval.

Mr. Ikein made himself available for questions.

Mayor Merritt asked for the history of Able SC, who they are, and how long they have been in existence.

Mr. Ikein replied that he was unsure of when Able SC first began. He added they are a nonprofit organization that provides services for elderly and/or disabled individuals, and they intend to use the

GPATS Policy Committee August 25, 2025 Minutes

funds to hire a Mobility Manager to coordinate services between multiple nonprofits with clients who need transportation access, especially in areas outside the current Greenlink service range.

Mayor Merritt then asked the annual cost for a Mobility Manager.

Mr. Ikein said he thought salary would depend on the hiring agency and added he believed, in this case, the salary would be close to \$50,000.

Mayor Merritt then stated if the salary is about \$50k, and the application is for \$176K, basically that is saying for every dollar spent on salary, approximately two dollars would be spent on transportation.

Mr. Ikein agreed.

Mayor Merritt stated he likes the concept of reaching out and helping, and he understands that Able SC is not a government entity, but to citizens, it may appear to be one. He added that is especially true, given that the project will be funded with government money if it is awarded.

Mr. Ikein said he understood the concern and added one of the issues with having a Mobility Manager is having one that can oversee the entire region as opposed to one entity hiring for that position but then they can only oversee within the boundaries of that particular entity.

Mayor Merritt then asked was it ever considered that maybe Greenlink, and some of their affiliate transit groups, that are already in the area could do something like hire a Mobility Manager.

Mr. Ikein responded yes, he and some others in transit have had discussions and for example, there is an entity called Senior Solutions that help with elderly and/or disabled persons and they discussed having a Mobility Manager. The thoughts with GTA/Greenlink were that they wanted the Mobility Manager to be able to oversee the entire region, not just Senior Solutions clients. Mr. Ikein explained that just never happened and we have no way of forcing any entity to do that with a one-time allocation.

Mayor Merritt stated that one way to enforce this would be not to approve the funding.

Mr. Ikein acknowledged that is an option of the Policy Committee.

Vice-Chairman Mayor McLeer stated Able SC works with primarily disabled individuals and he is unsure of exactly how long they have been around but he knows it has been quite some time.

Amanda Warren, Greenville Transit Authority Chair, said that regarding comments made about GTA/Greenlink, if a Mobility Manager works for Greenlink, they are going to be trying to get someone on a Greenlink bus. This request is for helping individuals get around by several different means. She explained it is not just for a Greenlink bus, but also for other forms of public transit, so they can get to where they need to go. In that way, if we want to approve or are more interested in helping others get to where they need to go, then it makes no sense for that person coordinating the efforts to work for Greenlink itself, but rather for a different entity.

Mr. Ikein said they understand that before giving out stipends for Uber or other providers, they would primarily put people on a Greenlink bus because that is already available.

GPATS Policy Committee August 25, 2025 Minutes

Ms. Warren added that Able SC is not just in Greenville, it is a statewide agency. She said the program they are asking for funds for in Greenville already exists in Columbia and it has been working for a number of years successfully in Columbia.

Councilor McGahhey said he did not see a job description for the Mobility Manager.

Mr. Ikein replied basically the main description is a person who is responsible for helping someone get access to transportation services.

Councilor McGahhey said he understood that but normally when you are hiring for a position there is a job description, responsibilities, etc.

Mr. Ikein responded that he did have that in the application file and would be happy to share it with the committee.

Mayor White asked where the Mobility Manager would have an office.

Mr. Ikein said the office would be at Able SC.

Vice-Chairman McLeer commented that it appears there are many questions that need to be answered before the vote can take place and he made a motion to hold the vote.

MOTION: By Vice-Chairman Mayor McLeer, seconded by Mayor Merritt, to hold the vote for FTA Section 5310 funds to be awarded to Able SC, as presented. The motion carried unanimously by voice vote. There were no objections.

GPATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) FY2025-2034 AC#6

Keith Brockington, GPATS Transportation Manager, addressed members on the proposed FY2025-2034 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Amendment AC#6 Financial Statement.

The following changes are being made to the TIP:

- Mauldin Golden Strip Gateway Bicycle and Pedestrian Project Amounts
 - o Amounts provided by SCDOT \$12 mil total
 - PE: \$1 mil
 ROW: \$5 mil
 CON: \$6 mil
- Addition of TAP Project Textile Crescent Shared Use Path \$920k
 - Match secured by Greenville County
- Addition of Carbon Reduction Program FY2022 Projects \$2 mil
- Bus Stop Improvements STBGP converted to FTA \$2.021 mil
 - Funding provided by STBGP approved by GPATS in February 2025 to supplement GTA 2022 Raise Grant Award

Mr. Brockington explained the FTA Section 5310 Application for Able SC will be removed from the TIP Amendment #6 since the committee voted to hold the application until further information can be provided.

GPATS Policy Committee August 25, 2025
Minutes 6

Mr. Brockington said the TIP Amendment #6 has been advertised from August 3rd through August 25th and was presented to GPATS Study Team who recommends approval by consensus without objection.

Mr. Brockington made himself available for questions.

MOTION: By Mayor White, seconded by Councilor McGahhey, to approve FY2025-2034 AC#6

Transportation Improvement Program as presented. The motion carried unanimously by

voice vote. There were no objections.

NEW BUSINESS

GPATS TMA (TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT AREA) CERTIFICATION REVIEW

Mr. Brockington stated this certification is conducted quadrennially and will be held on September 22, which is the same day as the next GPATS Study Team meeting. Both the Study Team meeting and the Certification Review will be held at Greenlink's office on Arcadia Drive. Mr. Brockington said there will be invitations sent out and asked members to be on the lookout for more information. He added that there will be three phases of the review. The first phase will be held during the Study Team meeting for the benefit of the Study Team members. This will enable planners, administrators, and engineers in the region to answer questions and provide feedback to the federal government. The second phase will be the actual federal review of GPATS and will take place from 1:00 to 5:00 pm. The final phase will be from 5:30 to 7:00 pm. This will be the public phase, and public advertising will begin August 31.

Mr. Brockington explained the certification review is essential for any Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), such as GPATS, that serves an area with a population of over 200,000 people. Mr. Brockington emphasized the importance of this review in order to maintain certification, ensuring that GPATS can continue to receive federal funding for GPATS activities and guideshare funds. He added that this will be the sixth certification review he has been involved with, and the previous five reviews were successful, with GPATS passing the review. Mr. Brockington expects this review also to go well. Mr. Brockington said participation is welcome and the more feedback and participation there is, the better.

Vice-Chairman McLeer asked if anyone had any questions or comments.

ADJOURNMENT:

Without objection, Vice-Chairman McLeer adjourned the meeting at 10:54 a.m.

Submitted by Recording Secretary Cleo A. Hill

GPATS PROJECT STATUS REPORT

| | © | PRO | JECT SCOPE | STATUS | ©PAST SUMMARY | UPDATES |
|----------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Woodruff Road Congestion Relief | P028743 | The purpose is to reduce congestion on Woodruff Road, from Verdea Blvd. to Smith Hines Rd. NEPA process will define actual project limits. Design by Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering (ICE). | In ROW Current Let Date: TBD | Fixwoodruffroad.com Public Hearing launched July 7-August 7, 2020. EA completed 4/2020, and FHWA Decision Document received 12/2020. Phase II contract with ICE executed 6/2021. In ROW 5/2023. | ROW acquisition is underway, about 87% complete. |
| | S- 107 Butler Road | S- 107 Butler Road P030553 City of Mauldin - Reconstruct existing 3 lane road with curb and gutter lanes and sidewalks for approximately 1.7 miles from Brides Rd. to U Main St. | | In ROW Current Let Date: Spring 2026 | Buildingabetterbutler.com PIM held 1/14/2021. Additional coordination with City of Mauldin & 2nd PIM held 11/18/2021. ROW and CON funds increased (+20.4M) 2/27/2023 PC. In ROW 4/2023. | Tentative let date Spring 2026. Duke utility agreement has been finalized and Utility relocations to begin later this year. |
| | Garlington Road P039274 Garlington Road (S-564) Corridor improvements from SC 146 to Ro Mountain Road. | | Garlington Road (S-564) Corridor improvements from SC 146 to Roper Mountain Road. | In PE Est. Completion: FY 29 | Feasibility study process kicked off 3/3/2022.Feasibility study completed and funds programed in the STIP | AECOM is consultant that was selected and negotiations are underway. |
| Guideshare | SC 183 & Jameson Rd Intersection 0377280 | | 1 ()3//28(; 1 | | Project on-hold in 2017 per GPATS Policy Committee pending outcome of SIB application (for funds to multilane SC 183). In FY 2020-2025 TIP this project is removed from being on hold, and ROW is FY 2021. GPATS PC voted to put the project back on hold 10/2019. Project voted to be taken off of hold per May 2022 GPATS PC Meeting. Small purchase contract underway for traffic and alternative analysis due to the upcoming widening of SC 183. | ROW plans being finalized and acquisition to begin soon. |
| | Pelham Road & Haywood Road | ham Road & Haywood Road P039271 Intersection improvements at Pelham road (S-492) and Haywood r | | In PL | Small purchase contract delivered the Final Report. It is recommended to move forward with a Continuous Flow Intersection. The total cost is \$9 million. | Funding approved in STIP. PE to start in FY 2026. Packaged with Pleasantburg and Rutherford on the SCDOT on call tentative ad list to procure a consultant. |
| | Pleasantburg Drive & Rutherford Road P039272 Intersection improvements at Pleasantburg Drive (SC 291) and Rutherford Road (S-21). | | In PL | Small purchase contract delivered the Final Report. It is recommended to move forward with a Partial Median U-Turn intersection. The total estimated cost is \$5 million. | Funding approved in STIP. PE to start in FY 2026. Packaged with Pelham and Haywood intersection on the SCDOT on call tentative ad list to procure a consultant. | |
| | SC 183 Corridor Improvement | P043187 | SC 183 Farrs Bridge Road widening from White Horse Road (US 25) to Alex Dr./Saluda View Drive (S-205). | In PE | Added at October 2023 PC meeting. Will progress forward with SC 183 project in Pickens County under one turn-key consultant contract. | Contract for design services was executed August 2025. Preliminary design is underway. Currently analyzing viable typical sections and beginning traffic analysis. |
| | Town of Central Connector | P041351 | Town of Central will build an approximately 3,000 LF bike/ped path (Green Crescent Trail) along SC 93 (Main St) from Church St to Tarrant St that connects the areas surrounding the downtown district. | In PE Current Let Date: 2/2026 | FPA executed 8/2/22. Project was scoped with the Town of Central, and surveys have been ordered. | Right of Way phase has begun. Finalizing construction plans and preparing for letting. |
| TAP | Multi-use trail along S-162 (Bryson Dr.) and Bryson Heights Drive. UGATA. | P043954 | Multi-use trail along S-162 (Bryson Dr.) and Bryson Heights Drive | In PE Current Let Date: 12/2027 | | Preliminary Design underway. |
| Non Guideshare | SC 183 Corridor Improvement | P043211 | SC 183 Farrs Bridge Road widening from Alex Dr./Saluda View Drive (S-205) to SC 135. (Pickens County SIB & Local funds) | In PE | Will progress forward with SC 183 GPATS project under one turn-key consultant contract. | Contract for design services was executed August 2025. Preliminary design is underway. Currently analyzing viable typical sections and beginning traffic analysis. |
| Fed. | West Georgia Rd (S-272) Improvements | P038375 | Intersection improvements along W Georgia Rd at Neely Ferry, E Standing Springs Rd. (City of Simpsonville Earmark) | In Construction Est Completion: 10/2025 | Project in ROW phase. ROW and UT coordination still underway. Guideshare funds added to CON phase, let date revised to FY 25. | Signal Work nearly complete. Asphalt milling to begin October 20, Paving October 27, Loops and Thermo by Nov 10. Also, Additional signal work pending. |

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

| | |)PRO | JECT SCOPE | STATUS | ☐ ÛPAST | UPDATES |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | US 29 Church Street | P028821 | City of Greenville - Bridge Rehabilitation of US 29 (S. Church Street) over S-75 (McBee Avenue) including a multi-use path along one side of bridge. | In Construction Est Completion: 07/2026 | Project website live https://churchstbridgerehab.com. Project in ROW 5/2023. Public meeting held 4/16/2024. | Currently in stage 2 of 4 of construction. Continue jack and replace bearings along the bridge. Continue painting and pouring concrete on columns and sidewalk on east side of the bridge. Began drainage work. |
| q | S-125 over Saluda River | P037701 | S-125 (Freeman Bridge Road) over Saluda River Bridge Replacement. (Y1 Off-System Bridges) | On Hold Current Let Date: FY 33 | SCDOT 10 Year Plan Bridge Replacement. Project currently in ROW phase. Construction plans have been signed and completed. The proposed let date is planned for 2032. | |
| Bridge Replacements/Rehab | S-384 over Brushy Creek P027845 S-384 (Shannon Drive) over Brushy Creek Bridge Replacement. Design Parrish & Partners. (Y2 Off-System Bridges) | | On Hold Current Let Date: FY 33 | SCDOT 10 Year Plan Bridge Replacement. ROW obligated 2/2023.Construction plans have been signed and completed. The proposed let date is planned for 2032. | | |
| ge Replace | S-250 over Machine Creek | SILOVAR MACRINA LIRAK T PUZZSIZ T ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' | | On-call consultant selected, and contract executed 11/13/23. PIM held 2/29/24.The proposed let date has been moved to 6/2025. | Final plans complete, utility and right of way has been certified. Project letting in November. | |
| Brid | S-149 over Brushy Creek | Bridge Replacement of S-149 (W. Faris Rd.) over Brushy Creek in Greenville. In PE Current Let Date: 6/2026 SCDOT 10 Year Plan Bridge Replacement. DFR held 5/29/24. PIM held 7/30 | | Right of Way phase has begun. Developing construction plans. | | |
| | US 276 over North Saluda River | P043782 | Primary Bridge Replacement of US 276 (Geer Highway) over North Saluda River in Greenville County. | In PE Current Late Date: FY 28 | | Carolina TEA is the consuttant that was selected and negotiations are underway. |
| Bridges | S-277 over Twelve Mile Creek | P038326 | Closed Bridge Replacement of Road S-277 (Lay Bridge Road) over Twelve Mile Creek in Pickens County. | In PE Current Let Date: FY 27 | Contract executed, Kickoff meeting conducted with consultant on 8/14/2024 | Right of Way plans under development. |
| Closed Bridges | S-507 over Langston Creek | P043407 | Closed Bridge Replacement of S-507 (Brooks Ave.) over Langston Creek in Greenville County. | In PE Current Let Date: | | Parrish and Partners is the consultant that was selected. Negotiations almost complete. Preliminary design is being developed under a limited notice to proceed. |
| idges | S-115 over Middle Tyger River | P043993 | Emergency (Hurricane Helene) Closed Bridge Design Build Package 30. S-115 (Dill Road) Bridge Replacement over Middle Tyger River in Greenville County. (Outside of GPATS boundary) | In Construction Est Completion: 9/2025 | | Contract awarded to Reeves Construction Company. Project is complete and open to traffic. Punchlist items remain. |
| DB Emergency Br | S-80 over Reedy River | P044201 | Emergency (Hurricane Helene) Closed Bridge Design Build Package 31. S-80 (S. Hudson Street) Bridge Replacement over Reedy River in Greenville County. | In Construction Est Completion: December 2026 | | Contract awarded to Wright Brothers Construction Company, Inc. Construction to be completed by the end of the year. |
| DB En | S-147 over Reedy River | P044048 | Emergency (Hurricane Helene) Closed Bridge Design Build Package 31. S-147 (W. Washington Street) Bridge Replacement over Reedy River in Greenville County. | In Construction Est Completion: Spring 2026 | | Contract awarded to Wright Brothers Construction Company, Inc. Construction to be completed in the spring of 2026. |

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

| | © |)PRO. | JECT SCOPE | STATUS | ©PAST ✓ SUMMARY | UPDATES |
|--------------------------------|--|---------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| ge 16 | SC 183 over Gregory Creek | P041230 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 16 (2023-3). SC 183 Bridge Replacement over Gregory Creek in Pickens County. (Outside of GPATS boundary) | | | |
| idge Packa | SC 183 over Gregory Creek SC 183 over Twelve Mile Creek SC 124 over Georges Creek SC 123 over Georges Creek | P041231 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 16 (2023-3). SC 183 Bridge Replacement over Twelve Mile Creek in Pickens County. | In Construction | | Contract Awarded to Palmetto Infrastructure, Inc. SC 183 Bridges anticipated to |
| gn Build Br | SC 124 over Georges Creek | P041232 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 16 (2023-3). SC 124 Bridge Replacement over Georges Creek in Pickens County. | Est Completion: Fall 2026 | | be complete by the end of the year. |
| Desi | SC 123 over Georges Creek | P041233 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 16 (2023-3). SC 123 Bridge Replacement over Georges Creek in Pickens County. | | | |
| | S-40 over South Saluda River | P041160 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 2024-1 (19). S-40 (Pace Bridge Rd) Bridge Replacement over South Saluda River in Greenville County. | | | |
| le 19 | S-102 over Armstrong Creek | P041161 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 2024-1 (19). S-102 (Keeler Mill Rd) Bridge Replacement over Armstrong Creek in Greenville County. | | | |
| Design Build Bridge Package 19 | S-310 over Trib to Richland Creek | P041162 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 2024-1 (19). S-310 (Crestwood Dr) Bridge Replacement over Trib to Richland Creek in Greenville County. | In Construction | | Contract Awarded to E.S. Wagner Company, LLC. Construction. Notice to |
| yn Build Bri | S-94 over Trib to Enoree River | P041163 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 2024-1 (19). S-94 (Hammett Bridge Rd) Bridge Replacement over Trib to Richland Creek in Greenville County. | Est Completion: Spring 2028 | | Proceed has been issued, contract is in design. Anticipating construction to begin summer 2026. |
| Desig | S-40 over Saluda Overflow | P043137 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 2024-1 (19). S-40 (Pace Bridge Rd) Bridge Replacement over Saluda Overflow in Greenville County. | | | |
| | S-26 over Trib to Saluda River | P043138 | Closed and/or Load Restricted Bridges Design Build Package 2024-1 (19). S-26 (Pace Bridge Rd) Bridge Replacement over Trib to Saluda River in Pickens County. | | | |

FOR INFORMATION ONLY

| | © |)PRO. | JECT SCOPE | STATUS | ©PAST ► SUMMARY | UPDATES |
|------------------|---|---|---|--|--------------------|--|
| | Gap Creek Rd/ Hampton Rd Intersection Improvements | P039380 | Proposed intersection improvements to convert the current intersection to a single lane roundabout in Spartanburg County. | In PE Current Let Date: 10/2025 | | Project let October 2025, pending award. |
| Improvements | US 25/US 25 Conn Intersection Improvements Safety Improvements SC 183 | Installation of a signalized Continuous Green T at the intersection of US 2 and US 25 Connector to control the southbound and eastbound approache Improvements Improvements Installation of a signalized Continuous Green T at the intersection of US 2 and US 25 Connector to control the southbound and eastbound approache Improve the existing acceleration lane to merge into the northbound traffic Adjust the existing US 25 roadway by closing one median crossing to allow for extension of the northbound acceleration. | | In Construction Est Completion: 06/2026 | | Project award to Palmetto Corp. of Conway with a Completion Date of 6/30/2026. Contractor expected to begin work in November 2025. |
| Safety I | Safety Improvements SC 183 | P037888 | Proposed changes on SC 183 (Cedar Lane Road/Pete Hollis Boulevard) in Greenville that are intended to improve safety of the corridor as well as reduce the frequency and severity of crashes. | In Construction Est Completion: 08/2026 | | Project award to Palmetto Corp. of Conway with a Completion Date of 8/31/2026. Contractor expected to begin work in December 2025. |
| | Safety Improvements US 29 | P037885 | Proposed changes on US 29 (Wade Hampton Boulevard) from Karen Drive to East Lee Road in Greenville that are intended to improve safety of the corridor as well as reduce the frequency and severity of crashes. | In PE Current Let Date: 8/2025 | | Project award to Palmetto Corp. of Conway with a Completion Date of 7/31/2027. Contractor awaiting on Notice to Proceed. |
| sers | Section Corridor Improvements River St. / Richardson St. | P041882 | Proposed safety improvements from South Main Street to West Elford St. in Greenville to include a two-way cycle track, leading pedestrian intervals, new sidewalk, high visibility crosswalks, ADA improvements, signing, and pavement markings. | In PE Current Let Date: 08/2026 | | Draft traffic reports and conceptual plans anticipated at the end of the month. |
| rable Road Users | Section Corridor Improvements US 29 Wade Hampton Blvd. | P041909 | Proposed improvements from East Lee Road to Watson Road in Greenville that are intended to improve the safety of the corridor as well as reduce the frequency and severity of crashes. | In PE Current Let Date: FY 26 | | Draft traffic reports and conceptual plans anticipated at the end of the month. |
| Vulnerable | Section Corridor Improvements US 276 Poinsett Hwy | P041887 | Proposed safety improvements from SC 183 Pete Hollis Boulevard to Hamlett Street Extension in Greenville to include high visibility crosswalks, raised median, leading pedestrian intervals, ADA improvements, signing, pavement markings, and traffic signal upgrades. | In PE Current Let Date: 08/2026 | | Draft traffic reports and conceptual plans anticipated at the end of the month. |



Greenville County Planning Department

301 University Ridge, Suite S-3200 Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 467-4957 www.greenvillecounty.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: GPATS Policy Committee

FROM: GPATS Staff

DATE: October 27th, 2025

SUBJECT: GPATS Performance Measures Update

Please find **Attachment 3.2**, an Amendment to the GPATS Horizon 2040 LRTP of GPATS Performance Measures as required by the FAST Act. Additionally, please find **Attachment 3.3**, an Amendment to the GPATS FY2025-2024 TIP Document to bridge TPMs from the LRTP into project selection and implementation.

The Performance Measure items being amended are:

• Safety Targets

Anna Stewart will be presenting on these changes. GPATS will be required to incorporate them into the LRTP and TIP in order to stay compliant with Federal Regulations.

Both amendments have been advertised from October 5th through October 27th, 2025. The Study Team has reviewed these items and recommends Approval by Consensus Without Objection. The Policy Committee will be asked to approve 1) the Amendment to the GPATS Horizon 2040 LRTP and 2) the Amendment to the GPATS FY2025-2034 TIP Document.

FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS

Targets

- GPATS is required to establish performance targets no later than 180 days after SCDOT or a public transportation operator sets performance targets.
- For each performance measure, the policy committee will either decide to support a statewide target or establish a quantifiable target specific to the planning area.
- SCDOT, MPOs, and public transit operators must coordinate performance measure targets to ensure consistency to the extent practicable.

Reporting

- Horizon 2040 must describe the performance measures and targets, evaluate the performance of the transportation system, and report on progress made.
- The TIP must link investment priorities to the targets in the LRTPs and describe, to the extent practicable, the anticipated effect of the program on achieving established targets.
- GPATS must also report to SCDOT the baseline roadway transportation system condition, performance data, and progress toward achieving targets.

Assessments

- FHWA and FTA will not directly evaluate GPATS' progress toward meeting performance measure targets. Instead, GPATS' performance will be assessed as part of regular cyclical transportation planning process reviews, including Transportation Management Area certification reviews, small MPO self-certification reviews, and the Federal Planning Finding, which is associated with approval of the STIP.
- FHWA will determine if SCDOT has met or made significant progress toward selected targets for the highway system.

SAFETY

South Carolina has the highest traffic fatality rate in the nation. It is 67% higher than the national rate and 40% higher than the states in the Southeast. Reducing the number of transportation-related collisions, injuries, and fatalities is SCDOT's highest priority and makes safety everyone's business. In 2011, the Director of the South Carolina Department of Public Safety (SCDPS), who also serves as the Governor's Representative for Highway Safety in South Carolina, announced the Agency's goal of zero traffic-related deaths for the State. This goal, also strongly supported by SCDOT and the South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles, became the starting point for the State's update of the strategic highway safety plan (SHSP), entitled Target Zero. Target Zero is an aspirational goal for South Carolina and is based on the philosophy that no fatalities are acceptable. The state will set targets advancing this goal during the next 20 years. For more information on statewide efforts to reach this goal, see Appendix E (see http://www. gpats.org/plans/horizon2040).

Safety Needs within the GPATS Region

SCDOT provided a safety workshop for GPATS with data specific to the study area boundary. The workshop examined the crash data within the GPATS region to provide some perspective on what safety problems the region is experiencing. Potential focus areas include:

- Roadway departure
- Intersections
- Access management
- Non-motorized roadway users

These areas could be influenced by GPATS as a project moves through the planning, programming, and delivery process.

More detail on these problem areas and traditional engineering countermeasure techniques can be found in Appendix E (see http://www.gpats.org/plans/horizon2040).

Safety Strategies

The safety of the regional transportation system is a top priority for GPATS. Therefore, additional Guideshare funding has been allocated in the *Horizon 2040* financial plan for safety and intersection improvements. Making these projects a priority should help move the baseline and improve overall safety in the coming years.

Safety Targets

SCDOT evaluated and was required to report its fourth* round of safety targets for the five measures on August 29, 2025. This action started the 180-day clock for GPATS to take action to either set region-specific targets or accept and support the state's targets.



When setting safety performance targets for the state, statisticians performed extensive analysis of the data related to each measure (i.e., traffic fatalities and severe injuries and vehicle miles traveled). South Carolina used a seven-data-point graphical analysis with a five-year rolling average. After the data points were plotted and graphical representations of the data were created, trend lines were added to predict future values. The trend lines were based on linear and non-linear equations with R-squared (i.e., best fit measure) values.

Using the models, statisticians predicted the values for the current year. Examining current and planned education and engineering safety initiatives, they estimated reductions in fatalities and severe injuries to calculate the state's safety performance targets. Staff from the SCDOT Traffic Engineering Office also met with representatives from the MPOs and COGs to deliver a presentation on the state's target-setting methods. The tables below shows GPATS and South Carolina baseline information, the state's targets, and safety targets set by regional transit agencies in their safety plans.

2022 - 2026 SAFETY TARGETS (2020 - 2024 BASELINE AVERAGE)

| | Traffic Fatalities | Fatality Rate* | Severe Injuries | Severe Injury Rate* | Non- motorized |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| SC Baseline | 1089.0 | 1.86 | 2650.4 | 4.52 | 467.2 |
| SC Targets | 1059.0 | 1.87 | 2549.0 | 4.50 | 467.9 |
| GPATS Baseline | 107.2 | 1.71 | 285.0 | 4.55 | 54.0 |

2022 TRANSIT SAFETY TARGETS

| Transit Provider | Mode of Transit Service | Fatalities (Total) | Fatality Rate** | Injuries (Total) | Injury Rate** | Safety Events (Total) | Safety Event Rate** | System Reliability*** |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| CATbus | Fixed Route | 0 | 0.00 | 8.5 | 1.44 | 19.5 | 3.32 | 10.527 |
| | Demand Response/ Paratransit | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.10 | 3 | 0.30 | 16,002 |
| Greenlink | Fixed Route | 0 | 0.00 | 12 | 1.47 | 7 | 0.84 | 20,450 |
| | Demand Response/ Paratransit | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.70 | 1 | 0.94 | 71,561 |

^{*}Rates are based on the unit per 100 million vehicle miles traveled

For the 2025 performance period, GPATS has elected to accept and support the state's safety targets for all five safety performance measures. This means GPATS will:

- Address areas of concern for fatalities or serious injuries within the region, coordinating with SCDOT and incorporating safety considerations on all projects
- Integrate safety goals, objectives, performance measures, and targets into the planning process
- Include the anticipated effect on achieving the targets noted above within the TIP, linking investment priorities to safety target achievement

Next steps

Additional Measures Coming Soon

In the future, GPATS will need to decide whether it will support state targets or set its own targets for other federally-required performance measures related to congestion reduction, freight movement and economic vitality, environmental sustainability, and reduced project delivery delays. The performance measures will be added to this document until the next LRTP update. At that point, GPATS will fully integrate a performance-based LRTP, combining the PBPP with LRTP elements and the associated decision-making processes.

Previous Target Adoption Dates:
October 2024 October 2020

October 2023 May 2023 March 2022

October 2019 February 2019

February 2021 November 2017

^{**}Rates are based on the unit per 100 thousand vehicle revenue miles

^{***}Reliability is determined based on vehicle revenue miles/ failures

Performance Measures and Targets

Performance management involves using performance-based planning and programming to make investment and policy decisions. Performance-Based Planning and Programming (PBPP) refers to the methods utilized by transportation agencies to apply performance management to their planning and programming processes, ensuring long-term and short-term transportation investment decisions are based on the ability to meet goals. This includes using detailed data collected from the system to measure trends, set targets, and to monitor if those targets are being met. As a federal requirement, the State and local Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) are responsible for developing Long-Range Transportation Plans (LRTPs) and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) using this performance-driven, outcome-based approach to planning.

Regional performance must be monitored in seven national goal areas: Safety, Infrastructure Condition, System Reliability, Freight Movement and Economic Vitality, Congestion Reduction, Environmental Stability, and Reduced Project Delivery Delay. At this time, only a small number of these goal areas have measures and targets associated with them by the State. These are listed below.

Safety

South Carolina has the highest fatality rate in the nation. It is abnormally high when compared to the National fatality rate and the rate of other Southeastern states. In an attempt to combat rising trends, South Carolina created a Strategic Highway Safety Plan called Target Zero and sets and monitors safety performance targets as required by FAST Act legislation. SCDOT has begun conducting safety audits for the State's MPOs as a tool to enable regional entities to combat safety problems as well. A regional safety audit for the GPATS region can be found online here: http://gpats.org/Programs/Safety.aspx

Currently, there are thirty-one projects in the safety category alone across the Counties of Greenville, Pickens, Laurens, Anderson, and Spartanburg in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). These include everything from interstate safety improvements to standard intersection safety improvements. On August 29th, 2025, the South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT) set new annual safety targets for five measures: Traffic Fatalities, Fatality Rate, Severe Injuries, Severe Injury Rate, and Non-Motorized Fatalities and Severe Injuries combined. The targets are based on baseline information generated using an analysis of data relating to each measure using a five-year rolling average. The state estimated reductions in fatalities and severe injuries by looking at existing and planned safety initiatives and set the safety targets below. These targets were adopted by the Greenville-Pickens Area Transportation Study (GPATS) Policy Committee on October 27th, 2025. These targets will inform all decisions made in the TIP and LRTP and can be found in the tables below, along with Transit Safety Targets adopted by regional transit agencies in their safety plans.

Projects implementing safety improvements will receive extra points in the prioritization process. For example, projects like the US-29 corridor improvement project (#2 in Horizon2040) and the Wade Hampton Access Management project (#6 in Horizon2040) will help eliminate curb cuts and left turns and theoretically decrease collisions at these points of conflict. These safety improvements layered on extra points to these projects during the ranking process. Safety projects are not limited by any boundaries. Just as intersection improvements on SC-153 in Anderson County, which can be currently seen in the GPATS TIP, received safety points from GPATS, the intersection improvement project of US-76 and S-72 in Laurens County targets similar problems and received safety points from the State.

| | | Safety Tar | gets and Baselin | ne Data | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|---|
| | # Traffic Fatalities | Fatality Rate* | # Severe Injuries | Severe Injury Rate* | # Non-Motorized Fatalities and Severe Injuries |
| SC Baseline (2020 – 2024) | 1089.0 | 1.86 | 2650.4 | 4.52 | 467.2 |
| GPATS Baseline (2020 – 2024) | 107.2 | 1.71 | 285.0 | 4.55 | 54.0 |
| SC 2022 – 2026 Targets | 1059.0 | 1.87 | 2549.0 | 4.50 | 467.9 |

^{*}Fatality rate and severe injury rate are based on the traffic fatalities, or revere injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled.

| | | | 2022 Tran | sit Safety I | Data | | | |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Transit Agency | Mode of Transit Service | Fatalities (Total) | Fatality Rate* | Severe Injuries (Total) | Severe Injury Rate* | Safety Events (Total) | Safety Event Rate* | System Reliability** |
| CATbus | Fixed 0 Route | | 0.00 | 0.00 8.5 | | 19.5 | 3.32 | 10,527 |
| | Demand Response/ Paratransit | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.10 | 3 | 0.30 | 16,002 |
| Greenlink | Fixed Route | 0 | 0.00 | 12 | 1.47 | 7 | 0.84 | 20,450 |
| | Demand Response/ Paratransit | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.70 | 1 | 0.94 | 71,561 |

^{*}Rates are based on the unit per 100 thousand vehicle revenue miles

Transit Asset Management (TAM)

Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plans have been employed by the State and local transit agencies to inform the distribution of transit funds. Transit funding decisions are based on the condition of transit assets in an attempt to maintain a state of good repair. South Carolina has created a Group TAM Plan for rural transit agencies in the State, but these plans are generally done in-house by larger transit agencies and are then supported by the local MPO. The state of an asset is determined by measuring the percentage of each asset class that has met or exceeded its useful life benchmark (ULB). Greenlink, the Greenville area's transit agency, and Clemson Area Transit have set their own targets. They can be found below. Targets for FY 2021 and 2024 can be found in their TAM Plans. GPATS is not required to create a TAM Plan of its own, as the MPO is only the designated recipient of FTA funds. However, GPATS has adopted the targets set by the region's transit agencies. All transit funding decisions made in the TIP and LRTP will consider these targets moving forward.

| | Greenlink 20 | 24 Transit Asset Management Targets | |
|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Category | Class | Performance Measure | Target |
| Rolling | Bus | % of rolling stock that has met or exceeded ULB | 50% |
| Stock | Trolley Bus | % of rolling stock that has met or exceeded ULB | 100% |
| | Cutaway Bus | % of rolling stock that has met or exceeded ULB | 14% |
| | Van | % of rolling stock that has met or exceeded ULB | 0% |

^{**}Reliability is determined by vehicle revenue miles/failures per 100 thousand miles



Greenville County Planning Department 301 University Ridge, Suite S-3200 Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 467-4957 www.greenvillecounty.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: GPATS Policy Committee

FROM: GPATS Staff

DATE: October 27, 2025

SUBJECT: GPATS 2025-2034 TIP Amendment AC#7

Please find **Attachment 4.1**, the DRAFT Financial Statement for the GPATS Transportation Improvement Program, Fiscal Years 2025 through 2034. This proposed Amendment version will be known as AC #7.

The following changes are being made to the TIP:

- SC-183 Farrs Bridge Road Project (Pickens County Side)
 - o Funding SCTIB and Pickens County Local
 - PE: \$4 mil
 - ROW: \$6 mil
 - CON: \$57.2 mil
- Addition of Bridge Improvement Project SC 86 over Saluda River
 - Amount provided by SCDOT
 - PE: \$500,000
- Carbon Reduction Program FY2023 and FY2024 Projects \$2.325 mil
- Addition of Intersection Improvement Project Speedway Local Access Road
 - Amounts provided by SCDOT
 - PE: \$322,000
 - ROW: \$3 mil
 - CON: \$7.3 mil ARC funds and \$805,563 Local
- Butler Road Update CON \$14.5 mil

The TIP has been advertised from October 5th through October 27th, 2025. The Study Team has reviewed this item and recommends Approval by Consensus Without Objection. The Policy Committee will be asked to approve the GPATS FY2025-2034 Transportation Improvement Program, Amendment AC#7, which will be submitted for adoption into the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

GPATS TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM - FY 2025-2034 FINANCIAL STATEMENT

64,639

Amendment/Correction Version AC#7-DRAFT TIP Approved 02/26/2024 | AC #6 Approved 08/25/2025 (COST IN THOUSANDS) FY FY FY FY FY TIP COST (2025-2034) 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 GUIDESHARE ALLOCATION \$26,205 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$291,995 ALLOCATION AVAILABLE FOR PROJECTS \$26,205 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$29,199 \$291,995 CARRYOVER AVAILABLE FROM PREVIOUS FY \$ 23,370 \$ 30,941 41,843 \$ 50,230 \$ 48,040 \$ 64,639 22,139 \$ 35,038 64,238 \$ 93,437 \$ 122,636 (14,637) \$ (18,298) (20,812) \$ (31,390) \$ (12,600) \$ (71,700) (16,300) (\$171,100) SCDOT GUIDESHARE ADVANCEMENT GUIDESHARE PAYBACK

48,040

50,230

KEY: P - PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING, R - RIGHT OF WAY, C - CONSTRUCTION, CA - CAPITAL PURCHASE, PL - PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY

23,370

34,938

ADDITIONS/CHANGES FROM PREVIOUS HIGHLIGHTED

- * IDENTIFIED IN THE INTERSTATE LONG RANGE PLAN FOR DESIGN PLANS ONLY
- *** Projects to be merged with I-85 @ I-385 Design/Build
- **** Projects may be combined for cost saving, if possible

***** - Advance construction (AC) is not additional funding it is illustrative of the advancement

REMOVALS IN NEXT HIGHLIGHTED

22,139

35,038

64,238

| | FY25-34 GUIDESHARE SUMMARY | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| REVENUES | ALLOCATION | \$291,995 | | | | | | | | |
| | CARRYOVER | \$23,370 | | | | | | | | |
| | DEBT | \$0 | | | | | | | | |
| | OTHER | \$0 | | | | | | | | |
| BALANCE | | \$120,895 | | | | | | | | |
| Amounts shown in Italics are Non-Guideshare fund | | | | | | | | | | |

151,836

120,895

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 tinounte dirotti il italice die 11611 Galace | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|---|-----------------|------|---------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------|--|------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| | | | | | | | TI | P | | | | | | | | REMAINING | |
| PIN# | Priorit | GUIDESHARE PROJECTS | Previous | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | TIP COST | COST | FUNDING |
| | | | Obligations | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | (2025-2034) | (2035+) | |
| | | | | | Road In | nprovement Proje | cts Currently in | the TIP with Up | odated Schedul | and Cost Estim | ates | | | | | | |
| SC 183 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | | | | | | | | | | | | STBGP | | | | | |
| 7728RD01 | 25/29 | ALEX RD | 1,548 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7728RD02 | 25/29 | JIM HUNT RD | 2,043 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| 7728RD03 | | JAMESON RD | 239 P, R | | | 2,000 C | | | | | | | | | \$2,000 | "] | |
| | | BATESVILLE ROAD (S-164) | 1,650 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STBGP |
| 37686RD01 | 1 47 | SC 14 TO ROPER MOUNTAIN RD | 2,200 R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 376868001 | 17 | (THREE LANES WITH MEDIAN, BIKE LANES, | 13,000 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | SIDEWALK NORTH OF PELHAM FALLS DRIVE) | 1,750 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Safety |
| | | WOODRUFF ROAD (SC-146) | 750 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STBGP |
| | | IMPROVEMENTS | 1,500 R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39660RD01 | 5 | FROM NEAR SCUFFLETOWN | *****9,500 C-AC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | RD (S-23-145) TO BENNETTS BRIDGE (SC-296) | 6,778 C-ACC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | WITH IMPROVEMENTS TO INTERSECTIONS | 2,722 C-ACC | | | | | | | | | | | | | | CRRSAA |
| | | ROPER MOUNTAIN EXTENSION (S-547) **** | 1,550 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STBGP |
| 041472RD01 | ء ا | PELHAM ROAD TO ROPER MOUNTAIN ROAD | 1,200 R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1041472KD0 | 'l ° | (THREE LANES, BIKE LANES, AND SIDEWALK | 9,800 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ON ONE SIDE) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ROPER MOUNTAIN ROAD (S-548) **** | 1,150 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STBGP |
| 041471RD01 | | ROPER MOUNTAIN EXT TO GARLINGTON ROAD | 1,500 R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0-147 INDU | Ί΄. | (THREE LANES, BIKE LANES, AND SIDEWALK | 7,750 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ON ONE SIDE) | 1,000 C | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | ĺ | 1 | | | | Safety |

| | | | | | | | PROJECTS CO | ONTINUED | | | | | | | _ | |
|-------------------|--|---|--------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| PIN # Priority | GUIDESHARE PROJECTS | Previous Obligations | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 | FY 2029 | FY 2030 | FY 2031 | FY 2032 | FY 2033 | FY 2034 | TIP COST (2025-2034) | REMAINING COST (2035+) | FUNDING |
| • | | " - " | | Road Impr | ovement Projects | Currently in the | e TIP with Upda | ted Schedule a | nd Cost Estimate | s Cont. | • | | • | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| P030553 8 | BUTLER ROAD (S-107) BRIDGES RD TO US 276 (FOUR LANES, DIVIDED, BIKE LANES AND SIDEWALKS) | 1,500 P 10,400 R | | , | 4,500 C 35,500 C-AC | 17,250 C-ACC | | | | | | | | \$40,000 | | STBGP |
| P030554 11 | BATESVILLE ROAD (S-164) PHASE II PELHAM ROAD TO THE PARKWAY (THREE LANES, WIDE OUTSIDE LANES, AND SIDEWALKS) | 1,200 P | | | 36,000 | | 1,900 R | 2,500 C 8,500 C-AC | 8,500 C-ACC | | | | | \$12,900 | ON HOLD | STBGP |
| P028743 | WOODRUFF ROAD CONGESTION RELIEF VERDAE BLVD. TO SMITH HINES ROAD (FOUR LANE DIVIDED, PLANTED MEDIAN, AND MULTI-USE PATH) | 4,900 P 8,750 R ***** 34,461 <i>R-AC</i> | 11,487 R-ACC | 11,487 R-ACC | 11,487 R-ACC | 1,000 C 79,347 C | | | | | | | | \$23,974 | | STBGP GC & SCTIB |
| P039274 | GARLINGTON ROAD FROM SC-146 TO PELHAM ROAD | 300 PL | | 2,500 P | ooo Ri | 2,800 R | | 49,000 C | | | | | | \$54,300 | | STBGP |
| P039275 | US-29/MILLS AVENUE FROM AUGUSTA STREET TO STEVENS STREET (SCOPE TBD) | | | | 300 PL | TBD | TBD | | | | | | | \$300 | | STBGP |
| P039276 | GROVE ROAD FROM US-25 TO W. FARIS ROAD (SCOPE TBD) | | | 500 PL | TBD | TBD | | | | | | | | \$500 | | STBGP |
| | LAURENS RD FROM I-85 TO INNOVATION DRIVE (FOUR LANE, DIVIDED, WITH BIKE LANE AND SIDEWALKS BOTH SIDES) | | | | | 40 PL | TBD | | | | | | | \$40 | | STBGP |
| | US-123 ACADEMY ST WIDENING FROM PENDLETON ST TO WASHINGTON AVE (SCOPE TBD) | | | 60 PL | TBD | | | | | | | | | \$60 | | STBGP |
| 6 | WADE HAMPTON FROM PINE KNOLL DR TO REID SCHOOL RD (SCOPE TBD) SC-296 REIDVILLE ROAD | 400 Pl | | | | 200 PL | | | | | | | | \$200 | | STBGP SPATS STBGP |
| P041914 | SC-290 TO SC-146 (WOODRUFF ROAD) SC-183 FARRS BRIDGE ROAD | 100 PL | 3,000 P | | | | | | | | | | | \$25,000 | | STBGP |
| P043187 | US-25 (WHITE HORSE ROAD) TO ALEX DR./SALUDA VIEW DRIVE (S-205) WIDENING (SCOPE TBD) | | | | | 5,000 R | | 20,000 C | | | | | | | | |
| | FAIRVIEW ROAD FROM GRANDVIEW DRIVE TO SC-418 (SCOPE TBD) | | | | | | | 50 PL | TBD | | | | | \$50 | | STBGP |
| | ST. MARK ROAD WADE HAMPTON (US-29) TO LOCUST HILL RD (SC-290) (SCOPE TBD) | | | | | | | 50 PL | TBD | | | | | \$50 | | STBGP |
| 1 | | 250 Bi | II | Inte | 1,000 P | Currently in the | TIP with Updat | ted Schedule ar I | | 3 | | | | ¢0.500 | 1 | STBGP |
| | HAYWOOD ROAD AND PELHAM ROAD PLEASANTBURG DRIVE AND RUTHERFORD ROAD | 250 PL 250 PL | | | 1,000 P 500 P | | 4,000 R 700 R | | 4,500 C 3,300 C | | | | | \$9,500 \$4,500 | | STBGP |
| | WHITEHORSE RD / W. BLUE RIDGE RD | | | 25 PL | TBD | | | | | | | | | \$25 | | STBGP |
| | E. BLUE RIDGE DR / STATE PARK RD AND POINSETT HWY | | | 35 PL | TBD | | | | | | | | | \$35 | | STBGP |
| 4 | LAURENS RD / WOODRUFF RD | | | | | 50 PL | TBD | | | | | | | \$50 | | |
| 7 | RUTHERFORD RD / JAMES ST AND W EARLE ST | | | | | 50 PL | TBD | | | | | | | \$50 | | |
| | MAIN ST / ANN ST / PENDLETON ST | | | | | | | 50 PL | TBD | | | | | \$50 | | STBGP |
| | US-25 / BESSIE RD (SC-86) / SANDY SPRINGS RD | | | | | | | 50 PL | TBD | | | | | \$50 | | STBGP |
| | W. GEORGIA RD (S-272) / E. STANDING SPRINGS RD | | | 1,500 C | | | | | | | | | | \$1,500 | | STBGP |

| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|--|-------------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|---|-----------------|---|
| | | | 11 | ı | 1 | | | E PROJECTS C | ONTINUED | | 1 | | | | T | T ===== | |
| PIN# | Priority | GUIDESHARE PROJECTS | Bassiana I | l ev | FV | l ev | | TIP | I EV | I FV | FV | I FV | T EV | I FV | TID COST | REMAINING | FUNDING |
| FIN# | Friority | GOIDESHARE PROJECTS | Previous Obligations | FY 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 | FY 2029 | FY 2030 | FY 2031 | FY 2032 | FY 2033 | FY 2034 | TIP COST (2025-2034) | COST (2035+) | FUNDING |
| | | | n emganerie | ш = | | nd Pedestrian Pro | - | | | | | | | | 1 (==================================== | 1 (=====) | |
| | I м | auldin Golden Strip Gateway | 1 | I | 150 PL | 1,000 P | 5,000 R | 6,000 C | 1 | T | | | 1 | | \$12,150 | 1 | STBGP |
| | | ugusta Street Area Bike Network | 200 PL | TBD | TBD | 1,222 | 2,000 | 5,555 | | | | | | | ¥1=,122 | | STBGP |
| | С | ity of Easley Doodle Trail Extension | | 150 PL | TBD | | | | | | | | | | | | STBGP |
| | С | ity of Greer and Taylors Greenway | | | | 25 PL | TBD | | | | | | | | \$25 | | STBGP |
| | Tr | ravelers Rest Area Bike/Ped Network Expansion | | | 20 PL | TBD | | | | | | | | | \$20 | | STBGP |
| | | | 200 | 150 | \$ 170 | \$ 1,025 | \$ 5,000 | \$ 6,000 | s - | s - | | | | | \$ 12,195 | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | it Capital Project | | | lated Schedule | and Cost Estima | tes | | | | , , , , , , | | • |
| | G | TA - Greenlink Capital (Allocation) | 1 | 2,620 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | 2,920 | \$29,199 | T | STBGP |
| | | Carryover Balance From Previous Year | | 2,020 | 2,620 | 3,519 | 6,439 | 9,359 | 12,279 | 15,199 | 18,119 | 21,039 | 23,959 | 26,879 | \$139,409 | | |
| | | Allocation plus Carryover Total | | 2,620 | 5,540 | 6,439 | 9,359 | 12,279 | 15,199 | 18,119 | 21,039 | 23,959 | 26,879 | 29,798 | \$168,608 | | |
| | | | | 2,020 | 901 | 5,100 | 0,000 | 12,210 | 10,100 | .5,5 | 2.,000 | 20,000 | 20,010 | 20,100 | \$100,000 | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 1 | | | 139 | | | | | | | | | | \$139 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | | | | 629 | | | | | | | | | | \$100 | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 2 | | | 176 | | | | | | | | | | \$176 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | | | | 544 | | | | | | | | | | \$1.75 | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 3 | | | 178 | | | | | | | | | | \$178 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | | | | 543 | | | | | | | | | | * | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 4 | | | 192 | | | | | | | | | | \$192 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | | | | 564 | | | | | | | | | | 7.7- | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 5 | | | 194 | | | | | | | | | | \$194 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | | | | 537 | | | | | | | | | | , , | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 6 | | | 202 | | | | | | | | | | \$202 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | | | | 515 | | | | | | | | | | · | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 7 | | | 214 | | | | | | | | | | \$214 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | " . B . O | | | 559 | | | | | | | | | | | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 8 | | | 246 | | | | | | | | | | \$246 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | The Dec Otto Income of Discoso | | | 625 | | | | | | | | | | | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 9 | | | 240 | | | | | | | | | | \$240 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | and the Director Income to Direct 40 | | | 428 | | | | | | | | | | | | RAISE (Awarded FY2022) |
| | G | reenlink Bus Stop Improvements, Phase 10 | | | 240 | | | | | | | | | | \$240 | | STBGP (Awarded FY2024)-Conversion to FT |
| | | | | - | \$ 2,021 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,021 | | |
| | | | | | Traffic Signal I | Retiming Corrido | rs Projects Cui | rrently in the TIP | with Updated S | Schedule and Co | st Estimates | | | | | | |
| P028961 | P | elham Road, Greenville | 122 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 0 | | STBGP |
| | U | S 276 (Cherrydale), Greenville/Greenville County | 80 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | STBGP |
| P029726 | | /oodruff Road (Scuffletown)/Greenville County | 55 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | STBGP |
| | | airview Road, Simpsonville | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | | STBGP |
| | E | ast Butler Road, Mauldin | 70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STBGP |
| | | Signal Retiming Allocation | 1200 | 1,310 | 1,460 | 1.460 | 1460 | 1460 | 1460 | 1460 | | | | | \$8,760 | | |
| | | Signal Retiming Palence | 783 | 2093 | 3553 | 5013 | 6473 | 7933 | 9393 | 10853 | 10853 | | | | * - 7 | IDER BUDGET | |
| | + + | · · · | ' | | 1 | | | T T | 1 | I | 1 | <u> </u> | 1 | <u>I</u> | + | 1 | |
| | I | GUIDESHARE SUBTOTALS | \$ 80,508 | \$ 14,637 | \$ 18,298 | \$ 20,812 | \$ 31,390 | \$ 12,600 | \$ 71,700 | \$ 16,300 | | I | 1 | | \$ 171,100 | | |

| _ | NON-GUIDESHARE PROJECTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------|--|------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|-----------|--|
| BIN # | NON CUIDECUARE PRO JECTO | Braviana | FY | l Ev | FV | | IP T Ev | I EV | T EV | FV | T EV | T FV | T FV | TID COST | REMAINING | FINENC |
| PIN# | NON-GUIDESHARE PROJECTS | Previous | 2024 | FY 2025 | FY 2026 | FY 2027 | FY 2028 | FY 2029 | FY 2030 | FY 2031 | FY 2032 | FY 2033 | FY 2034 | TIP COST | COST | FUNDING |
| | | Obligations 500 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2020 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | (2025-2034) | (2035+) | ARC |
| | GREENVILLE COUNTY POINSETT CORRIDOR PEDESTRIAN AND LIGHTING | 1,245 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | See TAP Section |
| | | 2,255 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Local/Greenville Co |
| | DOODLE TRAIL EXT & AMENITIES - CITY OF PICKENS | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | RTP |
| | MINERAL SPRING TRAIL - TOWN OF WILLIAMSTON | 76 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | RTP |
| P038477 | CLEMSON BIKING & WALKING TRAIL - SEGMENT 2 | 500 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ARC |
| | | 500 P,R,C | | 4 000 0 | | | | | | | | | | #1 000 | | Local |
| P038375 | WEST GEORGIA ROAD (S-272) FROM NEELY FERRY ROAD TO FORK SHOALS | 1,565 P,R 692 C | | 1,896 C | | | | | | | | | | \$1,896 | | EARMARK LOCAL |
| | | 166 R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ENHANCEMENT |
| 42551 | WOODSIDE STREETSCAPE | 313 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ARC |
| | | 310 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Local |
| | SC-183 FARRS BRIDGE ROAD | | 4,000 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | SCTIB |
| P043211 | ALEX DR/SALUDA VIEW DRIVE (S-205) TO SC-135 | | | | | 6,000 R | | 57,200 C | | | | | | | | Dielene County Local |
| | WIDENING (SCOPE TBD) SANS SOUCI CONNECTER - 2024 RAISE GRANT | | | 1,000 P | 3,500 R | 15,835 C | | | | | | | | \$20,335 | | Pickens County Local RAISE (Awarded FY2024) |
| P28052000920 | PARALLEL SWAMP RABBIT TRAIL IN UNITY PARK | 125 P,R,C | | 1,000 1 | 0,000 10 | 10,000 0 | | | | | | | | Ψ20,000 | | SCRTP |
| | GREEN CRESENT TRAIL, CLEMSON PARK LOOP | 125 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SCRTP |
| P28052100921 | | 125 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SCRTP |
| | THE PAVILION MINIATURE RAIL-TRAIL - GREENVILLE COUNTY PARKS AND REC | 125 P,R,C | | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | SCRTP |
| P28052200922 | | 100 P,R,C | | | | 1 | | <u> </u> | | 1 | | | | | | SCRTP |
| P28052201122 | CONESTEE PARK MOUNTAIN BIKING - CONESTEE NATURE PRESERVE | 60 P,R,C | | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | SCRTP |
| P28052201322 | | 66 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SCRTP |
| | 3 NICHOLTOWN MOUNTAIN BIKE AND HIKE TRAILS - City of Greenville | 100 P,R,C | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | SCRTP |
| | RIVER FALLS ACCESS TRAILS - SC STATE PARKS | | 100 P,R,C | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | SCRTP |
| P28012401424 | PARIS MTN HUGHES TRACT TRAIL EXPANSION - SC STATE PARKS | | 100 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | SCRTP |
| | Ramp metering I-85 SB/SC-14 on ramp - District 3 (FY2022) | | | 950 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM |
| | SC 86 @ S-53 and Blossom Branch Road signal rebuild - District 2 (FY2022) | | | 200 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM |
| | Expanded Traffic Signal Video Detection - City of Greenville (FY2022) | | | 850 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM |
| | US 25 Timing and Communication - District 3 (FY2023) | | | 480 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | \$480 | | CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM |
| | Butler Road Timing and Communication - District 3 (FY2023) Expanded Traffic Signal Video Detection - City of Greenville (FY2023) | | | 530 P,R,C 990 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | \$530 \$990 | | CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM |
| | SC 81 @SC 153 - District 2 (FY2024) | | | 325 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | \$325 | | CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM |
| Doogooo | | 100 P | | 020 1 ,10,0 | | | | | | | | | | ψΟΣΟ | | ON RESOLUTION TO COMM |
| P038033 | I-85 SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS MM 30 TO MM 60 | 3,000 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SAFETY/HSP |
| P027845 | BRIDGE S-23-384 AT BRUSHY CREEK - GRVL REPLACEMENT | 1,250 P,R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P038260 | BRIDGE S-23-154 OVER HUFF CREEK - GRVL REPLACEMENT | 1,125 P, R | 4,325 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P037701 | BRIDGE S-23-125 OVER SALUDA RIVER - GRVL REPLACEMENT | 1,191 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P037398 | BRIDGE S-4-931 OVER BIG CREEK - ANDERSON REPLACEMENT | 600 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P037693 | BRIDGE S-39-140 OVER SHOAL CREEK - PKNS REPLACEMENT | 1,841 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P037696 | BRIDGE S-39-250 OVER DODDIES CREEK - PKNS REPLACEMENT DESIGN BUILD 16 CLOSED AND/OR LOAD RESTRICTED BRIDGES DB PACKAGE 2023-3 | 1,797 P,R,C 36,206 P,C | | 1 | | - | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041233 | - | 20,612 P,C | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| | BRIDGE SC-124 OVER GEORGES CREEK -PKNS REPLACEMENT | 8,242 P,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041231 | BRIDGE SC-183 OVER TWELVE MILE CREEK -PKNS REPLACEMENT | 11,555 P,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041230 | BRIDGE SC-183 OVER GREGORY/CANNON CREEK -PKNS REPLACEMENT | 9,013 P,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| | DESIGN BUILD 19 CLOSED AND/OR LOAD RESTRICTED BRIDGES DB PACKAGE 2024-1 | 1,107 P | 30,328 C | | | | | | | | | | | * | | INTERST/NHS & FA NON NHS |
| P041159 P041160 | | 101 P 101 P | | 4,434 C 5,052 C | | | | | | + | | | | \$4,434 \$5,052 | | BRIDGE REPLACE BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041160 P041162 | | 101 P 101 P | | 2,486 C | | | + | | + | + | | 1 | + | \$5,052 \$2,486 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041161 | | 101 P | | 3,567 C | | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | \$3,567 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041168 | | 101 P | | 3,514 C | | | | | | | | | | \$3,514 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041163 | | 101 P | | 3,596 C | | | | | | | | | | \$3,596 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| | BRIDGE S-23-149 (W FARIS RD) OVER BRUSHY CREEK REPLACEMENT | 890 P | | 400 R | 5,000 C | | ļ | | ļ | | 1 | 1 | ļ | \$5,400 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P038771 | | 890 P | | 150 R | 3,230 C | | 2.400.0 | | | | | | | \$3,380 | | BRIDGE REPLACE BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P041169 2022 | SC-124 OLD EASLEY HWY OVER SALUDA RIVER (GRVL) | 259 P 41 P | | | 50 R | | 3,100 C | | | | | | | \$3,150 | | STATEWIDE PM |
| 2022 | SC-418 OVER HUFF CREEK (GRVL) | 100 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STATEWIDE PM |
| 2022 | US-29 WADE HAMPTON BLVD OVER MTN CREEK (GRVL) | 83 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STATEWIDE PM |
| 2022 | US-276 GEER HWY OVER MIDDLE SALUDA RIVER (GRVL) | 62 P | | | | | ļ | | ļ | | | | | | | STATEWIDE PM |
| 2022 2022 | SC-8 EASLEY HWY OVER US-29 (ANDERSON) SC-81 ANDERSON RD OVER SALUDA RIVER & S-4-143 (ANDERSON) | 41 P 100 P | | ∦ —— | | | | | | + | | 1 | | | | STATEWIDE PM STATEWIDE PM |
| 2022 | SC-146 N HWY OVER ENOREE RIVER (SPBG) | 100 P | | | | † | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | STATEWIDE PM |
| 2022 | SC-135 DACUSVILLE HWY OVER SHOALS CREEK | 45 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | STATEWIDE PM |
| | S-277 OVER TWELVE MILE CREEK - PICKENS REPLACEMENT | 1,370 P | | | 20 R | 6,680 C | | | | | | | | \$6,700 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P042514 | | 1,210 P | 500 R | 4,840 C | | _ | ļ | ļ | ļ | | 1 | 1 | ļ | \$4,840 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P043138 | | | 270 P | 3,025 C | 475 D | 2 000 0 | | | | + | | | | \$3,025 | | BRIDGE REPLACE |
| P043407 P043782 | S-507 (BROOKS AVENUE) OVER LANGSTON CREEK REPLACEMENT US 276 (GEER HWY.) OVER NORTH SALUDA RIVER REPLACEMENT | | 670 P | 2,490 P | 175 R | 3,800 C 174 R | 12,246 C | | | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | \$3,975 \$14,910 | 1 | BRIDGE REPLACE BRIDGE REPLACE |
| 1 043/02 | STATEWIDE BRIDGE PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE PACKAGE | # | | 7517 C | | 1/4 K | 12,240 0 | | + | | | | | \$14,910 \$7,517 | | BRIDGE REPAIR |
| P041689 | SC 124 Old Easley Hwy over Saluda River (Greenville) | | | 550 C | | i | | | | | | | | \$550 | | BRIDGE REPAIR |
| P041727 | SC 418 Over Huff Creek (Greenville) | 100 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | BRIDGE REPAIR |
| | 110 00 W- 1- 11 M (O 'N-) | II | 1,100 C | II | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | BRIDGE REPAIR |
| P041672 | US 29 Wade Hampton over Mountain Creek (Greenville) US 278 Geer Highway over Middle Saluda River (Greenville) | H | 825 C | 1 | | | | | | | † | † | | | | BRIDGE REPAIR |

| Column C | | | | | | N | ION-GUIDESHAI | RE PROJECTS | CONTINUED | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|--|----------------|------|--------------------------|
| 100 | PIN# | NON-GUIDESHARE PROJECTS | | | | | FY | FY | | | | | | | | COST | FUNDING |
| 1985 | | , , , , , | 112 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Column C | | , , | | , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marie Mari | | 5 1,1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 1,375 C | 2.720 | | | | | | | | | | ₽0.700 | | |
| Marie Mari | | | | | 2,730 C | 500 P | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The proof of the | | | 1 675 P.C | | | 300 F | | | | | | | | | \$300 | | BRIDGE IIVII TROVEIVIETY |
| Marie Mari | | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SAFETY |
| Marie Mari | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Manual M | | | , , , , . | | 2.000 C | | | | | | | | | | \$2,000 | | - |
| 19 | | | | | _,,,,, | | | | | | | | | | 4 =,000 | | |
| Second Company | P039386 | Intersection Improve. SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / S-23-327 (Old Dunham Bridge Rd) | 1,250 P | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SAFETY |
| Mathematical Processing Mathematical Pro | P039387 | Intersection Improvement US-29 / S-4-96 (Welcome Rd) | 4,213 P,R,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SAFETY |
| Marie Mari | P041029 | Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / L-183 (McNeeley Rd) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Marie Mari | P041033 | Intersection Improvement SC-81 (Anderson Rd) / L-912 (Cely Ln) | 700 P,C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | SAFETY |
| Manual M | P041412 | Intersection Improvement SC-20 with S-23-260 | | 150 P | | 1 R | 600.0 | | | | | | | | \$601 | | CAFETY |
| March Marc | P041030 | Intersection Improvement SC-290 (Locust Hill) / S-23-173 (Tigerville Rd) | 200 P | | 75 R | 2.250 C | 600 C | | | | | | | | 7.00 | | |
| Part | | | | 150 P | , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mary | | | | 150 P | | | | | | | | | | | \$601 | | SAFETY |
| Property | | | | 150 P | | 1 R | | 600 C | | | | | | | \$601 | - | |
| Marie Mari | | | 250 P | | 50 R | | 600 C | | | | | | | | \$601 | | SAFETY |
| March Proposed Section Proposed Section Proposed Section Sec | | | | | | 600 C | | | | | | | | | | | |
| March Marc | P041052 | Intersection Improvement SC-183 (Farrs Bridge Rd) / S-39-55 (Ireland Rd) | | 112 R | | | | | | | | | | | \$1,700 | | SAFETY |
| MICHAEL MARCHAEL | P041055 | Intersection Improvement US-25 / US-25 Conn | 250 P | | | | | | | | | | | | \$1,701 | | SAFETY |
| March Marc | P044323 | Intersection Improvement S-87 (Sulphur Springs Rd.) / S-104 (Hunts Bridge Rd.)-Greenville | | | 150 P | | 1,000 C | | | | | | | | \$1,150 | | SAFETY - HSP |
| Second Composition Composi | P044317 | Intersection Improvement SC 253 (Blue Ridge Dr.) / S-104 (W. Parker Road) - Greenville | | | 150 P | | 1,000 C | | | | | | | | \$1,150 | | SAFETY - HSP |
| Marie Mari | P044324 | Intersection Improvement US 25 (White Horse Rd.) / SC 183 (Farrs Bridge Rd.) - Greenville | | | 150 P | | 1,000 C | | | | | | | | \$1,150 | | SAFETY - HSP |
| Marie Mari | P044131 | Intersection Improvement SC 80 (J. Verne Smith Pkwy.) / L-2597 (Buncombe Rd.)-Spartanburg | | | 300 P | | 100 R | 2 000 C | | | | | | | \$2 400 | | SAFETY - HSP |
| April Apri | | Intersection Improvement - Project Speedway Local Access Road | | | | | 8.109 C | 2,000 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| March Marc | P037888 | SC-183 to MP 4.2 to 6 (Cedar Lane Rd) | 250 P | 1 R | 5,254 C | 7,777 | -, | | | | | | | | \$5,254 | | SAFETY |
| Second Continue | P037885 | RSA US-29 | 500 P | | | | | | | | | | | | \$0.503 | | SAFETY |
| Part | P030236 | SC-291 N Pleasantburg Dr and White Oak Dr | | | 0,002 0 | | | | | | | | | | ψ5,555 | | |
| Part | | · | 3,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | NHS/IM |
| 1,000 F 1,00 | P027368 | -85 (WIDENING FROM NEAR SC153 (EXIT 40) TO NEAR SC-85 (EXIT 69) | 65,720 P,R,C | | | | | | | 714,500 AC | | | | | | | NHS/IM |
| 1,200 | 0038111/ | I-85 @ I-385 (EXIT 51) | · · | 46 727 C | | | | | | 704,500 ACC | | | | | | | |
| A Control Co | | I-85 @ Rocky Creek Bridge | 1,200 P | 16,737 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marked 1.0 1 | | | 44,000 C | 300 P | | | | | | | | | | | 21.001 | | SAFETY HSP |
| AMERISON COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non-NetS Primary State State | P041909 | US 29 (Wade Hampton Blvd) MP 11.3 - MP 12.06 | | 450 P | | | 2,000,0 | | | | | | | | | | SAFETY HSP |
| ADDERSON COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non-NHS Primary | P041887 | US 276 (Poinsett Highway) MP 32.38 - MP 33.24 | | 300 P | | | 2,000 C | | | | | | | | | | SAFETY HSP |
| FA Secondaries | | ANDERSON COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non NILS Driver | | | | 5,000 C | | 0.042.0 | 0.043.0 | 0.043.0 | 0.042.0 | 0.043.0 | 0.042.0 | | * - , | 1 | SED |
| Non-FA Secondaries | - | · | | | | | | - | · | · | - | • | - | | | | |
| REENVILLE COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non-HAIS Primary | - | | | | | | | | · | | | | | | | 1 | |
| SREENVILLE COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non-NHS Primary | | | | | | | | - | · | · | - | • | - | | | | |
| FA Secondaries Sab C Sab | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Non-FA Secondaries | | , , | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| Non-FA Secondaries | - | | | | | | | | · | · | | | | | | | |
| LAURENS COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non-NHS Primary 6,409 C 3,290 C 1,182 C <t< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>·</td><td>·</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | - | | | | | | | - | · | · | | • | | | | | |
| FA Secondaries | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-FA Secondaries | | · | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | 1 | |
| Non-FA Secondaries 837 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PICKENS COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non-NHS Primary 542,176 SFP FA Secondaries 2,969 C 317,817 SFP Non-FA Secondaries 583 C 413 C 52,57 C 12,257 C 12,257 C 12,257 C 12,257 C 12,257 C 12,257 C 5,550 C 5,550 C 5,550 C 5,550 C 5,550 C <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td>,</td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | | | | | | - | , | , | , | | , | | | | |
| FA Secondaries 2,969 C 2,969 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-FA Secondaries S83 C S84 C | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-FA Secondaries | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SPARTANBURG COUNTY PAVEMENTS Non-NHS Primary 12,257 C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ļ | | | |
| FA Secondaries 5,550 C 5,550 C 5,550 C 5,550 C 5,550 C \$33,298 SFP Non-FA Secondaries 1,161 C 1,161 C 1,161 C 1,161 C 1,161 C 1,161 C \$6,968 MTN | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0.1 |
| Non-FA Secondaries 1,161 C MTN | | · | | | | | | | · | · | - | | | ļ | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non-FA Secondaries 823 C 823 C 823 C 823 C 823 C \$4,936 SFP | | | | | | | | - | · | · | | • | | | | | |
| | | Non-FA Secondaries | | | | | | 823 C | 823 C | 823 C | 823 C | 823 C | 823 C | | \$4,936 | | SFP |

| Property | | | | | | | Tr | ansit Projects | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-----------|----------|-------|--------------|----------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Manufacture 1911 | | | | | | | Current FY | | | | | | | | | FUNDING |
| Manual | PIN# | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Section | | | 3,483 | 4,472 | 4,460 | 3,930 | 3,985 | 20,331 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | |
| Second | | | | · | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marie Mari | | | | 1,725 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The content of the | ļ | | 341 | 20 | | | | | | | | | - | | 0 | |
| Market | · | | 229 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marie Mari | l | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second 150 1 | | Operations Total | 609 | 1,196 | 1,208 | 1,609 | 1,632 | 6,255 | | | | | | | | |
| Section Sect | | 1 0 | | | | 1,609 | 1,632 | | 1,609 | 1,609 | 1,609 | 1,609 | 1,609 | 1,609 | 1,609 | |
| Marcia (Control of Control of C | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Property Statement 1/2 | ļ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marie 196 19 | · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marie Mari | | | | | | 160 | 160 | | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | FTA SECTION |
| Marches Annex No. | | (PL) | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control State Animal | | MAULDIN-SIMPSONVILLE | 1,931 | 2,666 | 2,180 | | 3,008 | 9,785 | | | | | | | | FTA SECTION |
| Company Comp | | - X- / | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 5307 |
| Second S | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second Companies Second Comp | | | | { | | | 1,776 | | | | | | | | | |
| Month Mont | · | | | | | | 29 | 29 | | | | | | | | |
| Companies from 1 | l | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MAIL MANUFACTURE | l | Preventative Maintenance | | 1 | | | 1,447 | 1,447 | | | | | | | | |
| MANUAL SERVICIAL STATES AND AGENERAL STATES | | Operations Total | | | | | 1,232 | | | | | | | | | |
| MINISTREMENT MULTIPLE STATE AND ALTER STATE | | | | <u> </u> | | | 1,232 | 1,232 | | | | | | | | |
| Seriment - Fill Fill And Delever in Fill Fill And Delever in Fill Fill Fill And Delever in Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill Fill F | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Part Processarion Part Processario Part Process | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - Free for Section 1 | | | 408 | 583 | 600 | 538 | 548 | 2,676 | | | | | | | | |
| -Converse from Fundamental Production of Control Agency of Control | | · , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5310 |
| -Chemic Area Florida -Price Corry Most Office | | | 400 | | 212 | 250 | 265 | | | | | | | | | |
| ## 120 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | | • | 400 | 123 | | 339 | 303 | | | | | | | | | |
| Market Processing Pr | | | | | 201 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| March Marc | | · · | | | 0 | 179 | 183 | | | | | | | | | |
| - GIA CARRENT-VIPUNISSE - CAT CARRENT-VIPUNISSE - CAT CARRENT SPECIAL TYPERCIPLET ORDARY - CAT CARRENT SPECIAL TYPERCIP | | | 356 | 341 | 1,329 | 299 | 306 | 2,632 | | | | | | | | |
| - CAT CLOSING FORCET - CAT LOSING FORCET - CAT | | | 224 | 222 | 4.040 | 200 | 200 | 0.077 | | | | | | | | 5339 |
| - CAT Low/No Grant - CAT Low/No | | · | | | | 299 | 306 | 2,277 | | | | | | | | |
| OFF TRANSPORT FROM PROCESSOR FROM | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5339(c) |
| OTA MANIFORMORE PACE IT VS JUPPL PRENTAL, FUNDING 18,000 18, | | GTA Low/No Grant | | | 6,341 | | | 6,341 | | | | | | | | 5339(c) |
| STATE MASS TRANSIT FUNDING 151 520 324 132 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STATE MASS TRANSPIT PANDROG 511 520 324 192 | | GTA MAINTENANCE FACILITY SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - GTA SMIT | | STATE MASS TRANSIT FUNDING | , | 520 | 324 | 182 | 182 | 1 719 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | 182 | |
| CAT SMIF SCOT Which Replacement limitative GREENARY. AGRICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 GREENARY. AGRICAN RASCUE PLAN ACT 22 GREE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | GWIII |
| - GTA Capital Purchase | | | | 113 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CAT Cignita Furchase | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - CAT Capital Purchase - CARES ACT - GTA - GRIP UZA - Grienville UZA - GTA - GRIENVINE - CONDAINURS Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations ACT - GTA - GRIENVINE - CONDAINURS Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations ACT - GRIENVINE - CONDAINURS REsponse and Relief Supplemental Appropriations ACT - GRIENVINE - CONDAINURS REsponse and Relief Supplemental Appropriations ACT - GRIENVINE - AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 - GRIENVINE - AMERICAN | | GTA Capital Purchase | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CARES ACT | | OATO CALID ALL CALID | | | | L | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | SMTF |
| CARES ACT - GTA - Greenville UZA - Greenville UZA - CAT - Greenville UZA | | CAT Capital Purchase | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CTA Greenville UZA Majulfa-Simpsonville UZA Majulfa-Simpsonville UZA Majulfa-Simpsonville UZA Majulfa-Simpsonville UZA Marked Ma | | CARES ACT | - | 1 | | | | n | | | | | | | | |
| Greenville UZA Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA - CAT Greenville UZA AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 - GTA Greenville UZA - CAT Greenville UZA - CAT Greenville UZA - CAT Greenville UZA - CAT GREENLINK-Connavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations ACT - GREENLINK-AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 - GREENLINK-MERICAN RESCUE PLAN A | | | | | | | | , | | | | | | | | |
| ARERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 533 535 | | Greenville UZA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ARERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 533 535 | | Mauldin-Simpsonville UZA | | | | L | L | | | | ļ | | | ↓ | | |
| AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - GTA Greenville UZA - CAT Greenville UZA - CAT Greenville UZA - CAT GREENLINK - Cornavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations ACT GREENLINK - AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 GREENLINK - AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 GREENLINK - MOBility for All Grant GREENLINK - MOBility for All Grant GREENLINK - MOBility for All Grant GREENLINK - RAISE GRANT GREENLINK - RAISE GRANT GREENLINK - (ALLOCATED FY2024) STBGP CONVERTED TO FTA GREENLINK - (ALLOCA | | | 533 | 1 | | n | | 0 | | | | | | | | ARP ACT - 5307 |
| Greenville UZA - CAT - Greenville UZA - GREENLINK - Oronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations ACT - GREENLINK - MERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 - GREENLINK - HUMAN TRAFFICKING GRANT - CAVISCIDDSN - Mobility for All Grant - GREENLINK - RAISE GRANT | | | 555 | | | | | Ü | | | | | | | | |
| Greenville UZA | | | 351 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greenville UZA | | CAT | | 1 | | | L | 1 | | | | | | Γ | 1 | |
| GREENLINK - AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT 21 50 70 70 80 10 ARP ACT - 5310 GREENLINK - HUMAN TRAFFICKING GRANT 50 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |
| GREENLINK - HUMAN TRAFFICKING GRANT 0 0 FTA CA4I/SCDDSN - Mobility for All Grant 0 0 0 0 FTA GREENLINK - RAISE GRANT 0 0 5,845 5,845 0 0 0 0 USDOT RAISE (Awarded FY2022) GREENLINK - (ALLOCATED FY2024) STBGP CONVERTED TO FTA 0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | | | | | | | · | | | | | | | |
| CA4I/SCDDSN - Mobility for All Grant 6 | | | | | - | 70 | | | | | | | | | | |
| GREENLINK - RAISE GRANT USDOT RAISE (Awarded FY2022) GREENLINK - (ALLOCATED FY2024) STBGP CONVERTED TO FTA Security | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GREENLINK - (ALLOCATED FY2024) STBGP CONVERTED TO FTA SECTION 5307 | | | <u> </u> | 1 | | | 5.845 | | | | | | | | + | |
| | | | | 1 | | | | 0,040 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | ì |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 7,382 | 8,742 | 8,894 | 5,249 | | 44,425 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | 3,930 | |

* FY2025 funds are a summation of FY2021-2025. This is to comply with the FY2024-2033 STIP. Please refer to the previous years to reference the allocation amount.

| | | | | | | | Transpo | rtation Alternat | ives | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| PIN# | | TA | Previous | FY | FY | FY | TII FY | FY | FY | FY | FY | l FY | FY | FY | TIP COST | REMAINING COST | FUNDING |
| I | Year Pric | ority Jurisdiction/Projects | Obligations | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | (2025-2034) | (2035+) | . GREING |
| | 2013 | 5 City of Easley Brushy Creek Greenway Phase 1&2 | 534 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 20% Local Match |
| P029891 | 2014 | 1 City of Greenville Woodruff Road Sidewalks | 361 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Completed | TAP 20% Local Match |
| P030103 | 2014 | Anderson School District Four Riverside Middle School Sidewalks | 465 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 20% Local Match |
| P038476 | 2016 | 1 City of Greenville Haywood Road Sidewalks | 400 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Completed | TAP 20% Local Match |
| P038173 | 2017 | 2 City of Fountain Inn Woodside Park Connector | 799 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 20% Local Match |
| P041351 | 2019 | Town of Central (Southern Wesleyan University to Town of Central Ped./Bike Connector) | 643 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 20% Local Match |
| | 2023 | 1 City of Greer Wards Creek Trail Phase 1 | 1,090 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 20% Local Match |
| | 2024 | Upstate Greenways & Trails Alliance Bryson Elementary to S. Kings Drive Multi Use Trail | | 1,127 | | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 20% Local Match |
| | 2024 | City of Greenville Lowndes Hill Road Sidewalk | | 1,359 | | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 50% Local Match |
| | 2025 | County of Greenville Textile Crescent Shared Use Path | | | 920 | | | | | | | | | | | | TAP 21% Local Match |
| *Funding has no | t been verifie | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | TA OBLIGATION | (4,292) | (2,486) | (920) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| | | ANNUAL TA ALLOCATION FUNDING AVAILABLE | 1,299 | 1,183 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 1,208 | 12,081 | 1 | |
| | | FUNDING AVAILABLE BALANCE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | TA TOTAL | | 2486 | 920 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | FY 2025-2034 TIP GRAND TOTAL | | \$55,511 | \$105,858 | \$49,444 | \$82,819 | \$112,260 | \$210,615 | \$812,515 | \$81,715 | \$81,715 | | | \$1,536,940 | Ī | Ī |

KEY: PL - PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY, P - PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING, R - RIGHT OF WAY, C - CONSTRUCTION, CA - CAPITAL PURCHASE



Greenville County Planning Department

301 University Ridge, S-3200 Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 467-7270 www.greenvillecounty.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: GPATS Study Team

FROM: GPATS Staff

DATE: October 27th, 2025

SUBJECT: Transit Coordinated Committee (TCC) Report

The TCC evaluated one (1) project for FTA Section 5310. The attached applications are of the verbiage pages only, removing graphics and appendices to save space:

- AbleSC Application https://gpats.org/documents/AbleSC 2025Application GPATS 5310 Combined-compressed.pdf hire a Mobility Manager to coordinate services between multiple nonprofits with clients that need transportation access, especially in areas that are outside of the current Greenlink service area, by providing eligible individuals with \$150/month allowance for services like Uber, Lyft, or taxis.
 - a. Scored an average of 83.5/100 in their TCC evaluation, with two evaluators.
 - i. Local Match: \$88,104^{.00}
 - ii. Federal Match: \$88,104^{.00}
 - iii. Total Application: \$176,208.00
 - b. AbleSC has a local office at <u>135 Edinburgh Ct Suite 101, Greenville, SC</u> 29607.
 - c. Budget on next page;

Currently, there's \$361,808^{.33} available for federal match. This is less than the available federal funding.

If a score of 70/100 is not obtained, the application will be rejected.

The Study Team will be asked to make a recommendation on the applications for consideration of award by the Policy Committee.



Greenville County Planning Department

301 University Ridge, S-3200 Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 467-7270 www.greenvillecounty.org

| 3 | | | 27 | Local Mat | ch | Fe | deral P | Satch | , | Total | Туре | Percentage Capital of Total available Funding | Percentage Operations of Total available Funding | | | | | |
|--------|--------|---|----|-----------|-----|----|---------|-------|----|--------|------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | Mobility Management Specialist | \$ | 9,600 | 20% | ** | 38,400 | B0% | \$ | 48,000 | Capital | | | 1 FTE @ \$48,000. Responsible for developing, coordinating, and implementing strategies to enhance transportation services for the community. This role focuses on improving access to transportation for individuals with disabilities, seniors, and underserved populations. Son Secours commitment. Page IV-3: Section 2f (Support for Mobility Management and Coordination Programs among Public Transportation Providers and Other Human Service Agencies Providing Transportation) | | | | |
| | | Transportation Program Coordinator | 5 | 8,532 | 50% | 9 | 8,532 | 50% | \$ | 17,064 | Operations | | | 1325 FTE @ \$52,500. Oversees the management of all transportation- related programs, including paratransit eligibility, mobility management, and travel training. Able SC's transportation services aim to assist people with disabilities with receiving access to public transportation. The Transportation Program Coordinator will supervise the Mobility Management Specialist. | | | | |
| | | VP B. Chief Community Integration Officer | s | 4,725 | 50% | 5 | 4,725 | 50% | \$ | 9,450 | Operations | | | 0.10 FTE @ \$94,500. Cultivates the direction and development of innovative education, employment and transportation programming to support and promote the fluid integration of people with disabilities. The Vice President & Chief Community Integration Program Officer supervises the Transportation Program Condinator. | | | | |
| 54 | | Director of Advocacy | \$ | 3,250 | 50% | \$ | 3,250 | 50% | \$ | 6,500 | Operations | | | 0.10 FTE @ \$65,000. Provides advocacy and support to Independent Uving Specialists on information regarding the transportation program to the community. | | | | |
| FY2024 | AbleSC | Independent Living Specialist | \$ | 6,102 | 50% | \$ | 6,102 | 50% | \$ | 12,204 | Operations | 996 | 10% | 0.25 FTE @ \$47,250. Assists individuals with disabilities in the program area with their individual transportation goals and provide information or the program to eligible individuals. | | | | |
| | | Director of Information & Referral | \$ | 4,725 | 50% | s | 4,725 | 50% | \$ | 9,450 | Operations | | | 0.15 FTE @ \$63,000. Provides transportation referral services to eligible individuals in the service area. | | | | |
| | | VP & Chief Advocacy & Independent Living Officer | \$ | 2,250 | 50% | 5 | 2,250 | 50% | \$ | 4,500 | Operations | | | 0.5 FTE © \$90,000. Provides support to the Independent Living team on transportation programming goals. The Vice President & Chief Advocacy: IL Officer supervises the Director of Advocacy. | | | | |
| | | Fringe Benefits (28% of Personnel) | \$ | 15,003 | 50% | \$ | 15,003 | 50% | \$ | 30,006 | Operations | | | Includes: Medical (10.35%), Dental (1%), Life (2%), Vision (1%), EAP (1%), FICA (7.65%), 401K (5%) | | | | |
| | | Travel Stipends | 5 | 9,000 | 50% | 5 | 9,000 | 50% | 5 | 18,000 | Operations | | | 10 consumers x \$150/month x 12 months for rideshare vouchers or volunteer driver program | | | | |
| | | Laptop for Mobility Management Specialist | \$ | 338 | 20% | 5 | 1,354 | 80% | 5 | 1,692 | Capital | | | Voluntear envier program Laptop for the Mobility Management Specialist who will work 100% on this program. Page IV-3: Section 2r2 (Computer hardware and software) | | | | |
| | | Indirect Costs (14.10% of Personnel + Fringe) | 5 | 9,671 | 50% | 5 | 9,671 | 50% | 5 | 19,342 | Operations | | | Federally negotiated rate with Dept. of Health & Human Services | | | | |



Greenville County Planning Department 301 University Ridge, Suite S-3200 Greenville, SC 29601 (864) 467-7270 www.greenvillecounty.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: GPATS Policy Committee

FROM: GPATS Staff

DATE: October 27, 2025

SUBJECT: GPATS CY2026 Meeting Schedule

The proposed meeting dates for Calendar Year 2026 are as follows:

| Study Team (typically meets four weeks prior to Policy Committee): | Policy Committee: |
|---|--------------------------|
| January 26 | February 23 |
| April 13 | May 11 |
| July 13 | August 10 |
| September 14 | October 12 |

The Study Team reviewed the above dates and gave a Recommendation of Approval.

The Policy Committee will be requested to approve the meeting schedule for the 2026 Calendar Year for the Policy Committee and Study Team.



Greenville County Procurement Services Division

RFP #26033

Issue Date: September 19, 2025

Opening Date: October 16, 2025

2050 LONG-RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN RFP #26033

RESPONSES MUST BE SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY TO:

https://procurement.opengov.com/portal/greenvillecounty/greenvillecounty

Robert Brewer, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB

Robert Brewer

Procurement Services Division Director

Greenville County 2050 Long-Range Transportation Plan

| Public Notice |
|--------------------------|
| Introduction |
| Scope of Work |
| Instructions to Offerors |
| General Information |
| Insurance |
| Evaluation Phases |
| Terms and Conditions |
| Sample Contract |
| Vendor Questionnaire |
| |

Attachments:

A - COG Sub W9

B - Sample Services Agreement

1. Public Notice

Greenville County is soliciting sealed proposals in response to 2050 Long-Range Transportation Plan, subject to the conditions and all provisions set forth herein and attached. Sealed proposals will be received through the County's online procurement portal located at https://procurement.opengov.com/portal/greenvillecounty until Thursday, October 16, 2025, 3:00 pm, then publicly opened. The goods and/or services must be furnished as described and specified herein and submitted to Greenville County.

All inquiries regarding this proposal shall be submitted no later than Wednesday, October 1, 2025, 5:00 pm via the County's online procurement Portal, located at

https://procurement.opengov.com/portal/greenvillecounty. Please note the deadline for submitting inquiries. All answers to inquiries will be posted on the County's online procurement Portal. Offerors may also click "Follow" on this proposal to receive an email notification when answers are posted. It is the responsibility of the Offeror to check the website for answers to inquiries.

2. Introduction

2.1. Summary

The County of Greenville in association with the Greenville-Pickens Area Transportation Study (GPATS), Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Greenville and Mauldin-Simpsonville Urbanized Areas (UZAs), and designated Transportation Management Area (TMA), is requesting sealed proposals to complete the required 10-year Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), in accordance with Federal Regulations.

The LRTP is a comprehensive planning document that:

- Evaluates the regional transportation systems, land use patterns, congestion, freight systems, public transportation, pedestrian modes, emerging technologies, and social and environmental issues that face the GPATS study area.
- Relates the transportation systems to the existing and future land uses, integrating with community comprehensive plans, and supports federal, state, and local transportation efforts.
- Provides for the development of safe, efficient, accessible, equitable, and cost-effective transportation systems within the GPATS region.
- Protects and improves Air Quality.

The LRTP is an essential component to the function of an MPO and establishes priorities for transportation projects through travel modeling data, performance measures, and public involvement. Projects identified through the LRTP are used in the development of transportation projects funded in the GPATS short-range Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the South Carolina Department of Transportation (SCDOT)'s Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP).

2.2. Background

The GPATS MPO Study Area is comprised of urbanized portions of Greenville, Pickens, Anderson, Spartanburg, and Laurens counties, and the nine (9) municipalities contained therein: Greenville, Greer, Travelers Rest, Mauldin, Simpsonville, Fountain Inn, Pickens, Liberty, and Easley. Please visit https://gpats.org for more information on the makeup of GPATS.

The population of the GPATS MPO Study area is approximately 643,000 persons according to the 2020 Census, with an employed population of approximately 352,000 persons.

GPATS, and indeed the entire Upstate of South Carolina, continually tread the line to prevent a designation of "Air Quality Nonattainment" for ground-level ozone. Any new Environmental Protection Agency standards may significantly impact the LRTP Process if EPA and South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control make a determination that GPATS must be designated as "Nonattainment." To this end, all planning processes will take air quality impacts into account, so if GPATS is to begin performing required "Conformity Determinations" on projects, the data has been prepared.

In recent years, public transportation through the Greenville Transit Authority has made significant strides in improving service, and efforts to improve pedestrian and bicycle transportation have yielded numerous facilities and economic development benefits. According to the USDOT mandate to "accommodate all modes of transportation in the planning process," the LRTP will seek to identify appropriate and beneficial avenues to improve existing services and facilities and support the introduction of new ones.

The structure of GPATS relative to the LRTP is as follows:

- The GPATS Policy Coordinating Committee, the 28-member voting body, shall:
 - o Participate in and guide the GPATS LRTP Steering Committee
 - Receive updates on LRTP Progress at their regular meetings and provide guidance, feedback, and direction
 - Be responsible for final approval of the completed LRTP
- The GPATS LRTP Steering Committee shall:
 - Meet regularly and interact with staff and stakeholders to ensure that the LRTP is maintaining the appropriate level of public engagement
 - o Report to the Policy Committee as appropriate
 - o Assist staff with public meetings, particularly in the area in which they serve
 - Provide guidance, feedback, and direction on the LRTP
- The GPATS Study Team shall:
 - Meet regularly and interact with staff to ensure that the LRTP is on-track technically and abiding by all local, state, and federal regulations and priorities.
 - Assist staff with public meetings.
 - o Provide guidance, feedback, and direction on the LRTP
- The GPATS full-time Staff, as provided by Greenville County, shall be directly involved in the study and assist the selected firm in all aspects of the LRTP, consisting of:
 - One Manager
 - One Planner
 - One Transit Planner/Grants Manager
 - One Administrative Assistant

Title: 2050 Long-Range Transportation Plan

2.3. <u>Contact Information</u>

Bob Brewer

Procurement Director 301 University Ridge Suite N-2400 Greenville, SC 29601

Email: rbrewer@greenvillecounty.org

Phone: (864) 467-7200

Department:

PROCUREMENT SERVICES

2.4. <u>Timeline</u>

| Release Project Date | September 19, 2025 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Question Submission Deadline | October 1, 2025, 5:00pm |
| Proposal Submission Deadline | October 16, 2025, 3:00pm |
| Review of Proposals | October 16 - 30, 2025 |
| Tentative Date of Award | October 31, 2025 |
| Contract Negotiations | November 3 - 17, 2025 |
| Issue Notice to Proceed | November 17, 2025 |

6

3. Scope of Work

3.1. <u>Services and Deliverables</u>

The Services and Deliverables to be procured through this RFP are listed in the tasks below.

3.2. <u>Task 1: Travel Demand Model refinement, documentation, and implementation</u>

The current GPATS Travel Demand Model is a traditional 3-step gravity model on a TransCAD platform. It is part of the model developed by the Appalachian Council of Governments (ACOG) and SCDOT with GPATS assistance, most recently updated in 2025, and is referred to as the Appalachian Regional Model (ARM).

GPATS continues to maintain a TransCAD and TransMODELER license, but is not the primary builder of the model. The selected firm will need to work with ACOG and SCDOT to refine the model to best suit the needs of the GPATS LRTP.

Proposals for alternative transportation mode splits will be entertained, to be judged on accuracy and usability.

Necessary components of the GPATS Travel Model include:

- A review of existing and historical data, in particular the previous 2040 and 2045 TransCAD models.
- Base Year 2020/2025, fully calibrated to best available data
- Future/Horizon Year 2050 projections
- 5-year incremental projections
- Design to the Federal-Aid Eligible road network, and beyond as necessary for model accuracy
- Full network data, including but not limited to capacity, speed, travel time, delay, lanes, functional class, facility type, and load
- Safety/Collision data as provided by SCDOT, SCDPS, and other sources as available
- Necessary data for EPA Air Quality Conformity Determinations
- Necessary data for Congestion Management System
- Necessary data for Federal Performance Measures
- Fully updated of the regional Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs) with accurate socio-economic data
- Accurate projections of socio-economic data based on best available information, and impacts to the transportation systems through the Horizon Year

Full integration with the greater ARM

Additional components to complete Task 1 will include:

- Assisting GPATS and ACOG Staff in their aid to improve data and forecasting
- Advise and assist with the incorporation of alternative transportation modes not directly modeled
- Provision of packaged and finalized data utilized by the model for ArcGIS mapping.
- Provision of metadata and documentation for all travel model methodology, data, procedures, maps, and tables.

GPATS Staff will work with the selected firm to refine the scope of Task 1 services as necessary.

Deliverables:

- Summary Report of Existing and Historical Data
- GPATS 2025-2050 Travel Demand Model, with all inputs, outputs, data, and documentation, fully integrated into the ARM.

3.3. Task 2: Public Involvement Process

Paramount to this LRTP effort is significant public involvement, including but not limited to surveys, publications, listserv mailings, public comment periods, and especially public meetings.

The gravity of the GPATS LRTP to regional transportation must be properly conveyed, and sufficient public input is required to validate LRTP assumptions and findings, so the selected firm will be required to lend the following assistance to the public effort:

- Develop a detailed Public Outreach Strategy that is comprehensive, inclusive, accessible, equitable, and as exhaustive as possible, in line with the GPATS Public Participation Plan (PPP): https://gpats.org/documents/GPATS%20Public%20Participation%20Plan%20(PPP)%202022.pdf
- Proposal of effective outreach approaches.
- Use of innovative online engagement tools to facilitate
- Presentation, coordination, handling, and technical support for major kick-off meeting, with GPATS Staff support
- Presentation, coordination, handling, and technical support for major wrap-up meeting, with GPATS Staff support
- Technical support for two (2) rounds of no less than six (6) local public meetings scattered strategically around the GPATS Study Area in Greenville, Greer, Simpsonville, Travelers Rest, Easley, and Powdersville. GPATS Staff will run these meetings.

 Development of surveys to capture as broad and complete a picture of public perception, climate, and comment as possible.

Deliverables:

- Staff and support for kick-off and wrap-up meetings
- One (1) Statistically Valid Random Survey
- Two (2) Surveys for widespread and continuous input
- Presentation Prep, Printing of Visual Aids, etc. for all other public involvement efforts

3.4. Task 3: Congestion Management Process and Regional Mobility Program

The current GPATS Congestion Management Process (CMP) was developed in 2024, located here: https://gpats.org/documents/GPATS%20CMP_20240910_Final.pdf. In a change from previous LRTPs, the CMP will not be updated during the LRTP process, but will be incorporated as initial data.

Additionally SCDOT has been developing a Regional Mobility Program to supplement the MPO and COG LRTPs. GPATS will be utilizing the results of the RMP (forthcoming) as supplemental data.

The results of the GPATS CMP and SCDOT RMP are both to be considered as a starting place for analysis and prioritization of projects. They, along with other existing recent plans from the region, should be summarized with usable results from which further analysis may be done.

Deliverables:

- Summary Report on Recent Planning Efforts
- Matrix of projects and data for use in LRTP analysis inputs

3.5. <u>Task 4: Production and Publication of a GPATS Horizon 2050 Long-Range</u> Transportation Plan Web Portal

The final LRTP product will include a variety of components as required by Federal Regulations. This LRTP shall reside primarily online via a Web Portal that will live on the GPATS website hosted by Greenville County. GPATS Staff will act in concert with the selected firm to develop this Portal, which shall include but not be limited to:

- Interactive Executive Summary (also replicated in a separate document)
- Introduction/GPATS History
- Background data through Interactive Maps and accompanying pages
 - Socio-Economic Background
 - Population Snapshot

- Employment Snapshot
- Environmental Background
 - Environmental Justice Snapshot
 - Environmental Screening Snapshot
- Land Use Background
 - Current Land Uses Snapshot
 - Future Land Uses Snapshot
- Current Highway System Element
 - Calibrated Base Year Model
 - Assessment of Current Highway Projects
- Future Highway System Element
 - Projected Horizon Year Model
- Prioritization/Ranking System for Proposed Projects (All Modes)
 - Financially Constrained Project Lists
 - Vision Project Lists
- Roadway (Corridor and Intersections) Element
- Pedestrian and Bicycle Element
- Public Transit Element
- Freight Element
- Emerging Technologies
- Performance Measures Development and Assessment
- Implementation and Financial Element

The selected firm will work with GPATS Staff, Study Team, and Stakeholders/Steering Committees to evaluate proposed projects, develop performance measures, and assess implementation and financial strategies. The selected firm and staff will also work with jurisdictions within GPATS, the Appalachian Council of Governments, SCDOT, and USDOT/FHWA to coordinate the LRTP with the myriad of existing transportation plans in existence as the baseline for GPATS Planning moving forward.

The Web Portal itself must be straightforward and concise without technical jargon on the main pages, keeping the audience of policymakers and the general public in mind. Sub-pages should act as LRTP Appendices and provide all methodology, analysis, and data used to develop the Plan.

Deliverables:

- Draft Web Portal provided in sufficient time (as established by the work plan) for review, modification, and public outreach ahead of adoption.
- Final Web Portal published once all changes have been made from the Draft, and once approved by the GPATS Policy Committee
- Backups of all initial data and pages contained on Web Portal via flash drive.
- Transfer of any licenses for Web Portal operation, and instructions/training of their use for independent GPATS/Greenville County maintenance.

3.6. <u>Task 5: Production and Publication of the GPATS Horizon 2050 Long-Range</u> Transportation Plan Summary Document

The Web Portal will serve as the primary LRTP, but a physical document is still needed. Unlike previous documents, the intent here for the document to be tight, concise, and easily distributable. Contents must include:

- Executive Summary
- Acknowledgements
- Directions to and instructions for the Web Portal
- Brief summations of each LRTP Element
- Brief summations of CMP and RMP
- Prioritization/Ranking System for Proposed Projects (All Modes)
- Financially Constrained Project Lists
- Implementation and Financial Element
- Helpful Resources (links, who to contact, etc.)

Deliverable: The GPATS Horizon 2050 Long-Range Transportation Plan Document, including:

- Draft document provided in sufficient time (as established by the work plan) for review, modification, and public outreach.
- Final document provided once all changes have been made from the Draft, and once approved by the GPATS Policy Coordinating Committee
- Hard copies (quantity to be determined)
- Optimized Digital Copies
- Document Designs and base materials for future GPATS use

3.7. Task 6: On-call Support and Training

Upon completion of the previous tasks, the selected firm will provide additional services when necessary related to GPATS continued support and training for the Travel Demand Model and LRTP Document.

The particulars of the On-call services shall be provided as a part of the response from the firms. When required, these type services will be considered individually.

3.8. Schedule

The schedule for the GPATS LRTP will be finalized once the contract with the selected firm is detailed. In general, the needs of GPATS necessitate the following general timeframe:

- Fall/Winter 2025 into Early 2026 Consultant Firm selection and initial data collection
- Spring/Summer 2026 Data collection, public input gathering, Travel Demand Model development
- Summer/Fall 2026 Document development, public input follow-up
- Fall 2026 Approval process, and final Deliverables (required before end of CY2026)

It is requested that the responding firms use this general timeframe as a template from which to narrow and detail their proposed schedules.

4. Instructions to Offerors

4.1. Pre-Proposal Meeting

A Pre-Proposal meeting is not scheduled for this project.

4.2. Pricing

Costs for this project will be negotiated after the Intent to Award is issued.

4.3. Bonding

Bonding will not be required for this project.

4.4. Statement of Qualifications

Vendor shall include the following with their response:

- A. Overview of company background.
- B. Staffing or organizational chart showing staff that will be used for this project.
- C. Corporate/individual qualifications and experiences, including certifications.
- D. Current resume(s) for individuals(s) assigned to this project.
- E. List of at least three (3) references including names, addresses, and telephone numbers. Vendor should include any South Carolina governmental entity reference.
- F. Methodology for the process and deliverables.
- G. Experiences with public engagement, performance measures, congestion management and multi-modal planning.
- H. Technical expertise with Web Portals, Interactive Maps, and Travel Modeling.
- Proposed project schedule to be completed in time for Policy Committee Adoption by end of CY 2026.

4.5. Submission Procedures and Requirements

- A. All submissions must be received by Thursday, October 16, 2025 at 3:00 pm. Submissions will not be accepted after the deadline. There will be no exceptions. Responders submitting proposals shall be responsible for all cost of preparing such proposals. Information requested and not received at the time of submission may disqualify the submitted proposal.
- B. Responders to this solicitation shall closely examine the specific requirements noted herein and the attached Terms and Conditions. Facsimile transmittals, hard copies, emails or offers communicated by telephone will not be accepted or considered.

- C. Proposals shall be organized in the following manner with the subject headings and sequence indicated.
 - 1. Introduction
 - 2. Experience and Past Performance
 - 3. Organization and Personnel
 - 4. Proposed Solution(s)
 - 5. References
 - 6. Other Information Requested
- D. License and Permits The Contractor shall obtain all applicable licenses and permits, and promptly pay all taxes required by the State of South Carolina, and/or Greenville County.

4.6. <u>Inquiries and Addenda</u>

Offerors shall submit all inquiries regarding this RFP via the County's online procurement Portal, located at https://procurement.opengov.com/portal/greenvillecounty. Please note the deadline for submitting inquiries. All answers to inquiries will be posted on the County's online procurement Portal. Offerors may also click "Follow" on this bid to receive an email notification when answers are posted. It is the responsibility of the bidder to check the website for answers to inquiries. The County will not be responsible for or bound by any oral instructions made by any employee(s) of the County in regard to this RFP.

Addenda Notification: This RFP represents the most definite statement Greenville County will make concerning information upon which proposals are to be based. Offerors are required to register for an account via the County's online procurement Portal hosted by OpenGov. Once you have completed the registration, you will receive addenda notifications to your email by clicking "Follow" on this project. Ultimately, it is sole responsibility of each proposer to periodically check the site for any addenda at https://procurement.opengov.com/portal/greenvillecounty. No addenda will be issued later than five (5) working days prior to the date for receipt for proposals except an addendum which, if necessary, postpones the date for receipt of proposals or cancels this RFP. Vendors shall acknowledge receipt of all addenda.

5. General Information

- A. **Proprietary Information** The County of Greenville is a public body and governed by the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act. Documents submitted to the County relating to this Solicitation are subject to requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and may be deemed public records.
- B. **Errors and Omissions** The Responder will not be allowed to take advantage of any errors or omissions in the Request for Proposals. Where errors or omissions appear in the RFP, the Responder shall promptly notify the County of Greenville in writing of such error or omission it discovers. Any significant error, omission and/or inconsistency in the specifications are to be reported as soon as possible but no later than five (5) days before such time the response is to be submitted.
- C. Withdrawal of Proposal An official representative of a Responder may withdraw a Responder's response at any time prior to the proposal submission deadline. Acceptable proof establishing that he/she is the representative of the Responder must be provided.
- D. **Non-Endorsement** If the County awards a contract, the successful Responder shall not issue any news release or other statement relating to the award or servicing of the agreement which state or imply the County of Greenville's endorsement of the successful Responder's services.

6. Insurance

6.1. Insurance Requirements

The contractor is responsible for and must have all required insurance listed below and shall not commence work under the associated contract until it has obtained all insurance required, and the County has approved such insurance in writing, nor shall the Contractor allow any subcontractor to commence work on its subcontract until all similar insurance required of the subcontractor has been obtained. All insurance policies shall be maintained for the life of the contract.

- A. THE COUNTY SHALL BE NAMED AS "ADDITIONAL INSURED" FOR ITS INTEREST on all policies of insurance except Worker's Compensation, Automobile Liability, and Professional Errors and Omissions, as regards ongoing operations, products and completed operations, and this shall be noted on the face of the Certificate of Insurance. As a part of the certificate of insurance requirement the contractor shall also include acknowledgement and acceptance of the wavier of subrogation provision granted to Greenville County. This acknowledgement and acceptance should be included in the same section of the Certificate of Insurance that evidences the "Additional Insured" provision.
- B. Certificates for all such policies of insurance shall be provided by the **Contractor's** insurance agent or broker to the **County** within 10 working days from the date of Notice of Award.
- C. All Certificates of Insurance submitted shall provide on the face of the certificate reference to County's RFP #RFP #26033.
- D. **Contractor** will provide **County** a minimum of 30 days advance notice in the event the insurance policies (or an insurance policy) are canceled. Subcontractors approved to perform work on this project are subject to all of the requirements in this Section.
- E. **Contractor** agrees to maintain and keep in force during the life of this Agreement, with a company or companies authorized to do business in South Carolina, the following insurance policies:

1. Comprehensive General Liability:

- a. \$1,000,000 per occurrence combined single limit /
- b. \$2,000,000 general aggregate, to include products and completed operations.

2. Automobile Liability:

a. \$1,000,000 per occurrence - combined single limit (Coverage shall include bodily injury and property damage and cover all vehicles including owned, non-owned and hired)

3. Statutory Worker's Compensation:

a. Coverage A - State of SC

- b. Coverage B Employers liability
 - i. \$1,000,000 Each Accident
 - ii. \$1,000,000 Disease, Per Employee
 - iii. \$1,000,000 Disease, Policy Limit
- c. Policies shall contain a waiver of subrogation in favor of and/or that applies to Greenville County, its departments, agencies, boards, employees, and commissions for losses from work performed by or on behalf of the contractor.

No deviation from this coverage's will be accepted unless, in the County's sole discretion, it is more advantageous to the County, i.e., \$1,000,000 - a \$2,000,000 or \$5,000,000 limit would be acceptable.

7. Evaluation Phases

The proposals will be evaluated on the following criteria. Greenville County reserves the right to interview responders or have demonstrations to this RFP at its discretion. Greenville County will not be responsible for any costs associated with interviews of responders or demonstrations. If interviews or demonstrations are needed, a second phase of the evaluation process may be implemented at the County's discretion.

| No. | Evaluation Criteria | Scoring Method | Weight (Points) |
|-----|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Responsiveness to this RFP | 0-5 Points | 5 (14.3% of Total) |
| 2. | Qualifications and experience of firm and project staff, and their appropriate allocation to this project to complete within the schedule | 0-5 Points | 5 (14.3% of Total) |
| 3. | Methodology for the GPATS Horizon2050 LRTP Process and Deliverables | 0-5 Points | 5 (14.3% of Total) |
| 4. | Technical expertise with Web Portals, Interactive Maps, and Travel Modeling | 0-5 Points | 5 (14.3% of Total) |
| 5. | Experience with Public Engagement, Performance Measures, Congestion Management, and Multi-Modal Planning | 0-5 Points | 5 (14.3% of Total) |
| 6. | References | 0-5 Points | 5 (14.3% of Total) |
| 7. | Proposed Project Schedule | 0-5 Points | 5 (14.3% of Total) |

8. Terms and Conditions

8.1. Safety, Health, and Security

Contractor shall be solely responsible for its activities, that of its employees on the site and activities of its consultants, contractors and/or subcontractors for maintaining a safe job site. Contractor's activities and activities of its consultants, contractors and/or subcontractors shall comply with all local, state, and federal safety regulations and their enforcement agencies. Contractor shall at all times conduct its operations under this Contract in a manner to avoid risk of endangerment to the health and safety of persons and property. The Contractor shall have sole responsibility for implementing its safety and health programs, taking all safety and health precautions necessary and continuously inspecting all equipment, materials and work to prevent, discover, determine and correct any conditions which might result in personal injury, equipment damage or damage to property or the public. Contractor's safety, health and security programs shall be in compliance with all regulatory requirements and shall furnish accident, incident, injury, and other records and reports required by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, State and Local laws, or by the County.

8.2. Response Opening and Award:

Responses shall be publicly opened and only the names of the offerors disclosed at the opening. For IFBs, the name of the responder as well as the price will be disclosed. However, no decision will be made until Procurement Services and the user Division have had ample time to review each response. Award will be made at the earliest possible date. The County reserves the right to award in whole or in part, by item, group of items, geographic area or by section where such action serves the County's best interest. The contract will be awarded to the response that meets the requirements and criteria set forth in the Solicitation. No response may be withdrawn for a period of sixty (60) days after the opening date. Submissions will not be accepted after the deadline. There will be no exceptions. By submission of a response, you are guaranteeing that all goods and services meet the requirement of the solicitation during the contract period.

8.3. Rights Reserved by Greenville County:

Greenville County reserves the right to reject any and all responses, any portion thereof, and waive any technicalities. Accordingly, the right is reserved to make awards in the best interest of the County. Integrity, reputation, experience and past performance will be heavily weighed in response evaluation. This solicitation does not commit the County of Greenville to award a contract, to pay any costs incurred in the preparation of the response, or to procure or contract for goods or services listed herein.

8.4. Responders Qualification:

Responders must, upon request of the County, furnish satisfactory evidence of their ability to furnish products or services in accordance with the terms and conditions of these specifications. The County reserves the right to make the final determination as to the responder's ability to provide the products or services requested herein.

8.5. Responders Responsibility:

Each responder shall be fully acquainted with the conditions relating to the scope and restrictions attending the execution of the work under the conditions of this response. It is expected that this will sometimes require on-site observation. The failure or omission of a responder to be acquainted with existing conditions shall in no way relieve the responder of any obligations with respect to this response or to any contract as a result of this response.

8.6. References:

The County requires responders to list at least three (3) references including their company name, name of contact, address, telephone number, and email address of contact person with whom the responder has performed or provided similar work, service or product. The reference may be contacted to fill out a short questionnaire on the work with that company. If the reference does not complete the questionnaire by the time requested, the County may ask the responder to give an alternate reference.

The County asks that responders do not list County representatives or departments as a reference.

8.7. Waiver:

The County reserves the right to waive any Instructions to Responders, General or Special Terms and Conditions, specifications, or technicalities when it is deemed to be in the best interest of the County to do so.

8.8. Rejection:

Greenville County reserves the right to reject any response that contains prices for individual items or services that are inconsistent or unrealistic when compared to pricing of like responses; or ambiguous responses which are uncertain as to terms, delivery, quantity, or compliance with specifications may be rejected or otherwise disregarded if such action is in the best interest of the County.

8.9. Response Signature and Solicitation Alterations:

The responder shall sign the response correctly or the response may be rejected. If the response shows any omissions, alteration of form, unauthorized additions, a conditional response or any irregularities of any kind, the response may be rejected.

8.10. Specification Changes, Additions and Deletions:

Responders are required to register for an account via the County's online procurement Portal hosted by OpenGov. Once responder has completed registration, you will receive addenda notifications to your email by clicking "Follow" on this project. Ultimately, it is sole responsibility of each responder to periodically check the site for any addenda at

https://procurement.opengov.com/portal/greenvillecounty.

The County of Greenville shall not be responsible for any verbal information given by any employees of the County of Greenville in regard to this proposal.

8.11. Response Changes:

Responses, amendments thereto or withdrawal requests received after the advertised time for response opening, shall be void regardless of when they were submitted.

8.12. Response Price:

The price presented as a result of these specifications shall be for the contract period. The response shall be acceptable for sixty (60) days from the date of opening. All prices and notations shall be printed in ink or typewritten. Errors should be crossed out, corrections entered and initialed by the person signing the response. Erasures or use of typewriter correction fluids may be cause for rejection. No response shall be altered or amended after specified time for opening.

8.13. Federal, State and Local Laws:

The contractor assumes full responsibility and liability for compliance with any and all local, state and federal laws and regulations applicable to the contractor and its employees including, but not limited to, compliance with the EEO guidelines, the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, and minimum wage guidelines.

8.14. Tie Bids:

In the case of tie bids, the County reserves the right to make the award based on the factors outlined in Section 7-305(9) of the Greenville County Code of Ordinance (Chapter 7, Article VIII), or in what it considers to be in the best interest of the County.

8.15. Deduction and Holdbacks:

In addition to the County's right of termination, the County shall be entitled to full reimbursement for any costs incurred by the County by reason of the contractor's failure to perform or to satisfactorily perform its responsibilities and duties. Such costs may include, but are not limited to, the cost of using the County's employees or employees of any other entity to perform the obligations of the contract. The County may obtain any such reimbursement by deduction from payments otherwise due to the contractor or by any other proper and lawful means. All deductions from any money due the contractor are to be as liquidated damages and not as a penalty. It is the County's intent to give the contractor a reasonable opportunity whenever practicable, to correct any such failure to perform or satisfactorily perform its responsibilities and duties. In no circumstances shall any uncorrected situation extend for more than five days. The County will make the following deductions from the contract sum in the event that the contractor fails to perform any of the required work within the required time limits in the event the County carries out the work using its forces or another contractor.

- A. For use of County's forces actual cost involved.
- B. For use of another contractor the amount charged by said contractor.

The County reserves the right to hold back and/or withhold part of complete payments for unsatisfactory work, deficiencies, etc. until said defects are satisfactorily corrected or cleared.

8.16. Evaluation Criteria:

The proposals will be evaluated using the criteria listed in the <u>Evaluation Phases</u> included in this RFP. Greenville County reserves the right to interview responders or ask for demonstrations to this RFP at its discretion. Greenville County will not be responsible for any costs associated with interviews of responders or demonstrations.

8.17. Quality:

Unless otherwise indicated in this solicitation it is understood and agreed that any items offered or shipped on this solicitation shall be new and in first class condition unless otherwise indicated herein.

8.18. Affirmative Action - Small, Disadvantaged and Minority Businesses (SDMB):

- A. Vendors submitting responses are encouraged to solicit small, disadvantaged and minority businesses participation in fulfilling their contract. Indicate in your response any SDMB areas of involvement for monitoring purposes.
- B. The successful vendor will take affirmative action in complying with all Federal and State requirements concerning fair employment and treatment of all employees, without regard or discrimination by reason of race, color, religion, age, sex, national origin or physical handicap.

8.19. Default:

In case of default by vendor the County may procure the item or services from other sources and may recover the loss occasioned thereby from any unpaid balance due the vendor or by proceeding against the vendor's performance bond, if any, and/or by suit against vendor.

8.20. Termination for Cause:

Any awarded contract is subject to termination for failure to comply with the specifications, terms and conditions by the County or the contractor upon written notice by registered mail. Such termination will be effective not less than ten (10) days nor more than sixty (60) days after receipt of such notice from the County nor less than thirty (30) days nor more than sixty (60) days after receipt by the County from the contractor. Receipt of notice by one party to terminate the contract will nullify any subsequent reciprocal notice by the receiving party prior to the announced termination date. In the event of termination, the County shall be responsible to pay the contractor only for work satisfactorily completed upon the effective date of termination and shall not be responsible for any other charges.

8.21. Termination for Convenience:

Greenville County may terminate for convenience any contract resulting from this solicitation by providing sixty (60) calendar days advance written notice to the vendor.

8.22. Non-Appropriation:

Any contract entered into by the County resulting from this solicitation shall be subject to cancellation without damages or further obligation when funds are not appropriated or otherwise made available to support continuation of performance in a subsequent fiscal period or appropriated year.

8.23. Incorporation of Proposal into Contract:

The terms, conditions, and specifications of this proposal and the selected firm's response are to be incorporated, in total, into the contract.

8.24. S.C. Law Clause:

Upon award of contract under this response, the person, partnership, association or corporation to whom the award is made must comply with the laws of South Carolina which require such person or entity to be authorized and/or licensed to do business with this State. Notwithstanding the fact that applicable statutes may exempt or exclude the successful bidder from requirements that it be authorized and/or licensed to do business in this State, by submission of this signed response, the responder understands and agrees to be bound to the jurisdiction and process of the courts of the State of South Carolina, as to all matters and conflicts or future conflicts under the contract and the performance thereof, including any questions as to the liability for taxes, licenses, or fees levied by the State.

8.25. <u>Illegal Immigration Reform Act Compliance:</u>

By submitting an offer, Contractor certifies that it will comply with the applicable requirements of Title 8, Chapter 14 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (originally enacted as Section 3 of The South Carolina Illegal Immigration Reform Act, 2008 S.C. Act No. 280) and agrees to provide upon request any documentation required to establish either: (a) the applicability of Title 8, Chapter 14 to Contractor and any subcontractors or sub-subcontractors; or (b) the compliance with Title 8, Chapter 14 by Contractor and any subcontractor or sub-subcontractor. Pursuant to Section 8-14-60, "A person who knowingly makes or files any false, fictitious, or fraudulent document, statement, or report pursuant to this chapter is guilty of a felony, and upon conviction, must be fined within the discretion of the court or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both." Contractor agrees to include in any contracts with its subcontractors language requiring the subcontractors to (a) comply with the applicable requirements of Title 8, Chapter 14, and (b) include in any contracts with the sub-subcontractors language requiring the sub- subcontractor to comply with the applicable requirements of Title 8, Chapter 14. In the event any contractor, subcontractor and/or sub-subcontractor is found not to be in compliance with the SC Immigration Reform Act [hereinafter "The Act"], the contractor agrees to fully indemnify the County for any loss suffered by the County as a result of such contractor, subcontractor or sub-subcontractor's failure to comply with the Act.

8.26. Assignment Clause:

Successful responder will be required to give the County ninety (90) days' notice in the event of a change in the ownership of this contract. The County is under no obligation to continue this contract with an assignee. No contract or its provisions may be assigned, sublet, or transferred without the written consent of the County.

8.27. <u>Indemnification:</u>

Contractor agrees to defend, indemnify and save harmless the County and all County officers, agents and employees from and against any loss, damage, claim or action, including all expenses incidental to such claim and action, to the extent arising from any negligent acts or omissions by Contractor, its

agents, staff, consultants and contractors employed by it, in the performance of the services under this Agreement. Contractor shall not be responsible for any loss, damage, or liability to the extent arising from acts of the County, its agents, staff, and other consultants employed by it.

8.28. <u>Deviations from Specifications:</u>

Any deviation from specifications indicated herein must be clearly pointed out; otherwise, it will be considered that items offered are in strict compliance with these specifications, and successful responder will be held responsible therefore. Deviations must be explained in detail on separate attached sheets(s). The listing of deviations, if any, is required but will not be construed as waiving any requirements of the specifications. Deviations found in the evaluation of the response and not listed may be cause for rejection. Responders offering substitute or equal items must provide information sufficient enough to determine acceptability of item offered.

8.29. Minor Deviations:

The County reserves the right to negotiate minor deviations from the prescribed terms, conditions and requirements with the selected vendor.

8.30. Contractor License Requirement:

The contractor shall procure all permits and licenses, and pay all charges and fees necessary and incidental to the lawful conduct of his business. Contractor shall keep fully informed of existing and future Federal, State, and Local Laws, ordinances and regulations which in any manner affect the fulfillment of his contract and shall comply with the same.

8.31. Conflict of Interest Statement:

The contractor may become involved in situations where a conflict of interest could occur due to individual or organizational activities within the County. The vendor, by submitting a response, is in essence assuring the County that the company, and/or subcontractors, is in compliance with all federal, state, and local conflict of interest laws, statutes, and regulations.

8.32. Contracts:

The County reserves the option to prepare and negotiate its own contract with the vendor, giving due consideration to the stipulations of the vendor's contracts and associated legal documents. Vendors should include with their submittal a copy of any proposed standard contract.

8.33. Contractor Liability:

The contractor assumes full responsibility for all injuries to, or death of any person and for all damage to property, including property and employees of the County and for all claims, losses or expense which may in any way arise out of the performance of the work, whether caused by negligence or otherwise; and the contractor shall indemnify and save the County harmless from all claims, losses, expense, or suits for any such injuries, death or damages to property, and from all liens, losses, expenses, claims or causes of action of any sort which may arise out of the performance of the work, and shall defend, on behalf of the County and suit brought against the County for attorney's fees and for all other expenses incurred by the County in connection with or as a result of any such suit, claims, or loss. Under no

circumstances and with no exception will Greenville County act as arbitrator between the contractor and any subcontractor. The contractor will be solely responsible for compliance with building code requirements, all dimensions, and all conditions relating to his work under this contract. Workmanship shall be first quality in every respect. All measures necessary to ensure a first class job shall be taken.

8.34. Sub-Contracting:

The contractor shall not subcontract any portion of this contract without proper written approval from the County.

8.35. Non-Collusion:

The contractor expressly warrants and certifies that neither the Contractor nor its employees or associates has directly or indirectly entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion or otherwise taken any action in restraint of free competitive bidding in conjunction with this response.

8.36. Prohibition of Gratuities:

Neither the contractor nor any person, firm or corporation employed by the contractor in the performance of the contract shall offer or give, directly or indirectly, to any employee or agent of the County, any gift, money, or anything of value, or promise any obligations, or contract for future reward or compensation at any time during the term of this contract.

8.37. Publicity Releases:

Contractor agrees not to refer to the award of this contract in commercial advertising in such a manner as to state or imply that the products or services provided are endorsed or preferred by the user. The contractor shall not have the right to include the County's name in its published list of customers without prior approval of the County. With regard to news releases, only the name of the County, type and duration of contract may be used and then only with prior approval of the County. The contractor also agrees not to publish, or cite in any form, any comments or quotes from the County Staff unless it is a direct quote from the Public Information Officer.

8.38. Public Record:

The County of Greenville is a public body and governed by the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act. Documents submitted to the County relating to this Solicitation are subject to requirements of the Freedom of Information Act and may be deemed public records.

8.39. Precedence:

In the event of conflict between the terms and conditions and the specifications, the more restrictive instruction shall take precedence unless stated otherwise in the specifications.

8.40. Compliance With Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA):

By submitting an offer, Contractor certifies that it will comply with the applicable requirements of The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 and agrees to provide or submit the needed information to (a) examine the criminal history of Contractor or any subcontractor who will perform services, which result in contact with inmates and (b) Contractor agrees to disclose any information regarding past history of

allegations of sexual harassment or sexual abuse by Contractor or any subcontractor. Contractor and subcontractor agree to complete Training provided by the County and sign an acknowledgement of understanding of PREA requirements. In the event the Contractor or any subcontractor is found not to be in compliance with PREA, the Contractor agrees to fully indemnify the County for any loss suffered by the County as a result of such Contractor or subcontractor's failure to comply with the Act.

8.41. Americans with Disabilities Act -

All work shall be compliant with the full intent of all ADA (Title II) guidelines for accessibility, play components and design. The Department of Justice's regulation implementing Title II, subtitle A, of the ADA applies to State and local government entities, and protects qualified individuals with disabilities from discrimination on the basis of disability in services, programs, and activities provided by State and local government entities. Further, all Local and County code compliances regarding ADA must be met. For additional information on ADA compliance, refer to (ADA.gov).

8.42. Asbestos Management Plan:

Contractor, contractor's employees or any subcontractors will not introduce asbestos containing materials into any County of Greenville facility and will certify at the end of project that all materials used are free and clear of asbestos containing materials. Further, will provide a MSDS for all products utilized and installed in County of Greenville facilities.

Failure by Contractor, Contractor's employees or any subcontractor to comply with the County of Greenville Asbestos Plan and / or any governing agency's regulations may result in work stoppage, dismissal of individual workers, and/or termination of contract and in addition risk potential citations issued by the governing agencies for violations. It is the responsibility of the contractor to:

- A. Review the Asbestos Inspection Reports for the facilities for which they are providing services, provide contractor's employees and subcontractors notification of ACM
- B. Provide proof of asbestos training, medical examinations, proper PPE (when necessary)
- C. Provide proper licenses, permits, and certifications
- D. Comply with all federal, state and local regulations
- E. Provide proof of and/or copies of required records upon request of the County of Greenville when necessary
- F. Provide a Safety Data Sheet (SDS) for all products installed in County Facilities.

9. Sample Contract

The County reserves the option to prepare and negotiate its own contract with the vendor, giving due consideration to the stipulations of the vendor's contracts and associated legal documents. Vendors should include with their submittal a copy of any proposed standard contract.

A sample contract is attached for review in the Attachments Section. This sample consists of the County's minimum terms and conditions. Responders must review the solicitation for any other terms and conditions that may be added for this project, such as insurance requirements, payment schedule, contract term, etc. Any exceptions by the responder must be included with the uploaded response.

10. Vendor Questionnaire

10.1. Illegal Immigration Reform Act Compliance*

By submitting an offer, Contractor certifies that it will comply with the applicable requirements of Title 8, Chapter 14 of the South Carolina Code of Laws (originally enacted as Section 3 of The South Carolina Illegal Immigration Reform Act, 2008 S.C. Act No. 280) and agrees to provide upon request any documentation required to establish either: (a) the applicability of Title 8, Chapter 14 to Contractor and any subcontractors or sub-subcontractors; or (b) the compliance with Title 8, Chapter 14 by Contractor and any subcontractor or sub-subcontractor. Pursuant to Section 8-14-60, "A person who knowingly makes or files any false, fictitious, or fraudulent document, statement, or report pursuant to this chapter is guilty of a felony, and upon conviction, must be fined within the discretion of the court or imprisoned for not more than five years, or both." Contractor agrees to include in any contracts with its subcontractors language requiring the subcontractors to (a) comply with the applicable requirements of Title 8, Chapter 14, and (b) include in any contracts with the sub-subcontractors language requiring the sub-subcontractor to comply with the applicable requirements of Title 8, Chapter 14. In the event any contractor, subcontractor and/or sub-subcontractor is found not to be in compliance with the SC Immigration Reform Act [hereinafter "The Act"], the contractor agrees to fully indemnify the County for any loss suffered by the County as a result of such contractor, subcontractor or sub-subcontractor's failure to comply with the Act.

10.2. S.C. Law Clause*

Upon award of contract under this response, the person, partnership, association or corporation to whom the award is made must comply with the laws of South Carolina which require such person or entity to be authorized and/or licensed to do business with this State. Notwithstanding the fact that applicable statutes may exempt or exclude the successful bidder from requirements that it be authorized and/or licensed to do business in this State, by submission of this signed response, the responder understands and agrees to be bound to the jurisdiction and process of the courts of the State of South Carolina, as to all matters and conflicts or future conflicts under the contract and the performance thereof, including any questions as to the liability for taxes, licenses, or fees levied by the State.

| DI | | confirm | |
|--------|-----|---------|------|
| י במוט | בס | can | TIRM |
| 1 100 | 130 | COL | |

10.3. Conflict of Interest Statement*

The contractor may become involved in situations where a conflict of interest could occur due to individual or organizational activities within the County. The vendor, by submitting a response, is in essence assuring the County that the company, and/or subcontractors, is in compliance with all federal, state, and local conflict of interest laws, statutes, and regulations.

| ☐ Please conf | tirm | ١ |
|---------------|------|---|
|---------------|------|---|

^{*}Response required

^{*}Response required

*Response required

10.4. Non-Collusion*

The contractor expressly warrants and certifies that neither the Contractor nor its employees or associates has directly or indirectly entered into any agreement, participated in any collusion or otherwise taken any action in restraint of free competitive bidding in conjunction with this response.

☐ Please confirm

10.5. Compliance With Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)*

By submitting an offer, Contractor certifies that it will comply with the applicable requirements of The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 and agrees to provide or submit the needed information to (a) examine the criminal history of Contractor or any subcontractor who will perform services, which result in contact with inmates and (b) Contractor agrees to disclose any information regarding past history of allegations of sexual harassment or sexual abuse by Contractor or any subcontractor. Contractor and subcontractor agree to complete Training provided by the County and sign an acknowledgement of understanding of PREA requirements. In the event the Contractor or any subcontractor is found not to be in compliance with PREA, the Contractor agrees to fully indemnify the County for any loss suffered by the County as a result of such Contractor or subcontractor's failure to comply with the Act.

☐ Please confirm

10.6. County of Greenville Substitute W9 Form*

Please upload your COG Substitute W-9 form found in the Attachments Section. Please complete all sections including the Services Provided section of the form. **The IRS W9 Form will not be accepted.**

10.7. Response Upload*

Vendors shall upload their proposal information for the RFP.

10.8. Redacted Response

If your submission contains confidential information, please upload a redacted version here. It will be the sole discretion of the County to approve any redacted information.

10.9. <u>Acknowledgement and Acceptance of Terms and Conditions of the Solicitation*</u>

Explanatory Note: The purpose of this question is to confirm the responder's or offeror's acknowledgement and acceptance of the terms and conditions of the Solicitation, subject to any exceptions, deviations or modifications to terms or conditions that are expressly requested in the following or that have been requested and approved prior to submission of the Offer. All exceptions,

^{*}Response required

^{*}Response required

^{*}Response required

^{*}Response required

deviations or modifications to the Solicitation, regardless of whether the County approved such items prior to submission of the Offer, must be clearly set forth in this question.

A response of "No" will mean the Offeror takes no exceptions or modifications to the terms and conditions of this solicitation.

A response of "Yes" will mean the Offeror takes exceptions or modifications to the terms and conditions of this solicitation. A response of "Yes" will open another question for any exceptions or modifications to be detailed.

☐ Yes

☐ No

*Response required

When equals "Yes"

10.9.1. Details of any exceptions or modifications of the Terms and Conditions If there are any exceptions, deviations or modifications, please indicate those clearly by referencing the specific language.

If there are multiple exceptions, the Offeror may respond with "Please see details in response." These details must be included in the uploaded response.

(Note: Unacceptable exceptions shall remove the proposal from consideration for award. Greenville County Procurement Division shall be the sole judge on the acceptance of exceptions, deviations or modifications and the decision shall be final.)

10.10.References*

The County requires responders to list at least three (3) references including their company name, name of contact, address, telephone number, and email address of contact person with whom the responder has performed or provided similar work, service or product. The reference may be contacted to fill out a short questionnaire on the work with that company. If the reference does not complete the questionnaire by the time requested, the County may ask the responder to give an alternate reference. The County may also ask for additional references if needed.

The County asks that responders do not list Greenville County representatives or departments as a reference.

References MUST be formatted as the following:

Contact Name

Contact email address

Contact Phone Number

Company Name, Address

Project Title of similar work, service or product

10.11. Small, Disadvantaged and Minority Businesses

Is your agency certified as a Small, Disadvantaged or Minority business? This is for monitoring purposes only. No special consideration will be offered unless specifically notated in the solicitation.

10.11.1. Small, Disadvantaged, and Minority Business* Indicate yes or no if your agency is certified small. disadvantaged, or a minority business. ☐ Yes \square No *Response required When equals "Yes" 10.11.2. Type of Certification(s) Indicate below or in your response any certifications held in areas of involvement for small, disadvantaged, or minority business. Certifications shall be uploaded in your response. 10.12. Authorized Contact Information The following contact shall have the authority to submit the response to this solicitation, clarify any information needed, and will be the contact for any contract negotiations. 10.12.1. Contact Information* Please identify an authorized contact for your response in the following format. Full Name of Contact Person: Title of Contact Person: Contact Person Telephone Number: Contact Person Email Address: *Response required 10.12.2. Will this Contact be signing an Agreement if awarded?* ☐ Yes ☐ No *Response required When equals "No" 10.12.3. Please enter the name, title, email, and phone number of the person that will be signing an Agreement if awarded.* *Response required

^{*}Response required